



DC YouthLink Quarterly Performance Report

Report Highlights

- Workforce Development Outcomes 
DYRS is now reporting new work outcomes for youth linked to DC YouthLink, including youth earning employment, youth maintaining employment, youth earning professional certifications, and youth participating in internships. In FY2012 Q1, fifteen youth (15) earned new, unsubsidized employment.
- Educational Outcomes 
DYRS is now reporting new educational outcomes for youth linked to DC YouthLink, including youth achieving a high school credential, and youth enrolling in post-secondary education. During the reporting period, seven youth (7) earned a high school credential.
- Re-Conviction Rates 
Re-conviction for a new offense is another new outcome included in this report. In the three Quarters since the agency began tracking arrests in April of 2011, 83 percent of DC YouthLink enrollees have been in community-based placements without being found guilty of a new offense.
- Abscondence Rates
Abscondence rates also continued their downward trajectory. On the final day of the Quarter, only 2 percent of DC YouthLink youth were on abscondence status, the lowest level since DYRS began capturing this information. Between October and December of 2011, 9 percent of youth absconded from their placement, which matches a two-year low.
- Mental Health & Substance Abuse Services
Youth receiving community-based mental health services and substance abuse services have both continued to increase. The number of youth enrolled in these programs nearly doubled over the last two Quarters.

First Quarter, FY 2012
Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services
Government of the District of Columbia

Table of Contents

DC YOUTHLINK FY2012 Q1 INITIATIVE SCORECARD	1
SALIENT TRENDS IN THE FY2012 1 ST QUARTER DATA	2
Education & Workforce Outcomes.....	2
Public Safety Outcomes.....	2
Enrollment Trends	3
ABOUT THE DC YOUTHLINK INITIATIVE.....	5
THE OVERSIGHT PROCESS	6
The Role of Standardized and Data-Driven Oversight	6
Overview of the Report’s Framework and Methodology	6
INTERPRETING THE DATA TABLES IN THE REPORT.....	10
INDEX OF TABLES	12
DATA TABLES	14



DC YouthLink Scorecard

Fiscal Year 2012, First Quarter

Service Delivery	FY 2012 Quarter 1			PFQA	Trend	
Youth Served	394	249	148	480	-18%	
Service Linkages per Youth during Quarter	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.4	7%	▲
Service Linkages per Discharged Youth	3.0	2.9	3.3	2.8	6%	▲
Avg Weekly Hours of Youth Engagement	5.2	4.5	6.2	6.8	-23%	▼
Public Safety						
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ	
Youth Killed	0	0	0	2	-100%	▲
Youth Charged with Murder	3	3	0	0.5	500%	▼
Youth Re-Convicted (%)	5%	2%	9%	10%	-49%	▲
Youth Re-Arrested (%)	13%	9%	19%	20%	-38%	▲
Youth Revoked	4%	5%	1%	4%	7%	▼
Youth who Absconded (%)	9%	10%	8%	12%	-25%	▲
Youth on Abscondence - single day snapshot (%)	2%	2%	1%	6%	-67%	▲
Relationships						
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ	
Enrollments (#)	311	204	110	290	7%	▲
Enrollments (%)	79%	82%	74%	61%	29%	▲
Avg Weekly Hours of Engagement	5.6	4.8	7.2	4.9	15%	▲
Work						
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ	
Enrollments (#)	122	82	43	96	27%	▲
Enrollments (%)	31%	33%	29%	20%	52%	▲
Avg Weekly Hours of Engagement	2.3	1.7	2.9	3.9	-42%	▼
Youth Earning Employment	15	11	4	6.8	122%	▲
Education						
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ	
Enrollments (#)	103	60	44	131	-21%	▼
Enrollments (%)	21%	20%	23%	27%	-23%	▼
Avg Weekly Hours of Engagement	7.8	8.5	7.1	6.362	23%	▲
Youth Achieving High School Credential	7	5	2	2.5	180%	▲
Health						
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ	
Enrollments (#)	101	46	56	96	5%	▲
Enrollments (%)	26%	18%	38%	20%	28%	▲
Avg Weekly Hours of Engagement	3.10	1.40	3.90	4.62	-33%	▼
Community						
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ	
Enrollments (#)	1	0	1	2	-33%	▼
Enrollments (%)	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	-24%	▼
Avg Weekly Hours of Engagement	-	-	-	-	-	
Creativity						
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ	
Enrollments (#)	4	3	1	6	-33%	▼
Enrollments (%)	1.0%	1.2%	1%	1.2%	-18%	▼
Avg Weekly Hours of Engagement	8.6	8.6	-	6.9535	24%	▲

SALIENT TRENDS IN THE FY2012 1ST QUARTER DATA

This report is the third iteration of the agency’s quarterly reviews of DC YouthLink inputs, processes, outputs and outcomes. While a great deal of data is available for review in the Quarterly report’s Data Tables section, there are some trends that bear particular mention.

Education & Workforce Outcomes

In a first for in the DC YouthLink Quarterly Report, the agency is able to report on the education and workforce development outcomes for youth served through the DC YouthLink initiatives. DYRS has worked with DC YouthLink providers to centralize the reporting of these important milestones so that, going forward, achievements can be regularly and consistently tracked.

As part of this effort, DYRS’s Office of Research & Evaluation reviewed historical records to verify youth accomplishments. The outcomes reported in the report include only those that the agency was able to confirm with documentation. This process is likely to have undercounted the number of youth achieving

high school certifications, continuing on to post-secondary education, or attaining full time, unsubsidized employment in the past two years. Going forward, however, the agency intends to report as fully as possible these positive youth outcomes.

Since the launch of DC YouthLink, 13 youth have completed high school-level education. Twelve have entered post-secondary education programs. Forty-two have attained and held unsubsidized employment.

Public Safety Outcomes

Re-conviction for a new offense is another new outcome included in this report. In the three Quarters since the agency began tracking arrests in April of 2011, 83 percent of DC YouthLink enrollees have been in community-based placements without being found guilty of a new offense, while 64 percent have avoided re-arrest entirely.

Figure 1: Count of DC YouthLink Youth Achieving Education & Work Outcomes While Enrolled





Figure 1: Re-Conviction Rate for DC YouthLink Youth, April 2011 - December 2011




The most recent reporting period, FY2012 Quarter 1, had particularly positive public safety outcomes, with lowest-on-record re-arrest and re-conviction rates. Between October 1 and December 31, 2011 there were 20 DC YouthLink-enrolled youth convicted of an

offense (with the outcome of six cases still pending), meaning 95 percent of youth were not found involved in or guilty of a new offense. Zero DC YouthLink youth were victims of homicide during the quarter; three were charged with homicide.

Abscondence rates also continued their downward trajectory. On the final day of the Quarter, only 1 percent of DC YouthLink youth were on abscondence status, the lowest level since DYRS began comparing that data for DCYL youth. Between October and December of 2011, 9 percent of youth absconded from their placement, which is also a two-year low. DYRS credits this decrease to the work of its abscondence response team, continued effective collaboration with the Metropolitan Police Department, and the enhanced supervision and services provided through DC YouthLink. Overall, youth are absconding less often and are returned to supervision more quickly when they do.

Figure 3: Abscondence Trends, FY2010 – Present




Enrollment Trends

In the first Quarter of FY2012, DC YouthLink served 394 youth, down slightly from the 407 served during the prior Quarter. Slightly less

than two-thirds of these youth were served by East of the River Clergy-Police Community Partnership. The youth served continue to be predominantly male (87 percent), black (94 percent) and between the ages of 18 and 20 (65 percent). All but one Ward, Ward 3, had youth served by DC YouthLink during the quarter.

Figure 2: Home Ward of Youth Served by DC YouthLink, FY 2012 Q1



While community-focused services continue to lag behind other service domains, DC YouthLink continued to expand services across five of the six Positive Youth Justice (PYJ) domains (Relationships, Work, Education, Health, and Creativity). There was significant growth in the portion of youth linked to relationship-building interventions, driven largely by the widespread use of mentoring for DYRS youth. Three out of four DC YouthLink youth were connected to a mentor during FY2012 Quarter 1. The first Quarter also saw growth in the portion of youth linked to job training and placement programs. Here, the growth was targeted toward older youth. Youth aged 18-20 were linked to workforce development programming at nearly three times the rate of younger youth. Conversely, younger youth were 50 percent more likely to be linked to educational support services than their older peers.

Within the health domain, there was a noteworthy shift in the emphasis of services received by DYRS youth, away from physical

Figure 4: Percent of Youth Linked to Services in Each PYJ Domain (and Public Safety), FY2012, Q1





Figure 5: Trends in Substance Abuse & Mental Health Services, FY10-Present



activities and toward substance abuse and mental health interventions. While the number of youth enrolled in a physical activity service dropped from 11 percent to 6 percent in the most recent Quarter, youth receiving community-based mental/behavioral health services and substance abuse services have both continued their upward trajectory.¹

¹ As DYRS improves its data sharing with the Department of Mental Health (DMH), the agency believes that it will be able to identify other youth who continue to receive mental health services through the Core Service Agencies.

ABOUT THE DC YOUTHLINK INITIATIVE



DC YouthLink is a non-profit coalition of organizations that has partnered with DYRS to successfully reintegrate juvenile offenders into their communities by providing a host of services and supports to the youth and their families. DC YouthLink is working with local and national partners to improve the community reentry process so that the needs of DYRS youth, the family and the communities to which they return are being met.

Offering a continuum of community services and support to incarcerated youth in reentry is an integral part of the juvenile justice system. There is a vast array of services in youth's home communities to support them. Historically, however, there was no convenient way to find out about the full range of these services and providers.

To bridge this gap, DYRS partnered with two community based organizations, the East of the River Clergy-Police Community Partnership and the Progressive Life Center, to provide community-based services for DYRS youth. These organizations, referred to as the 'Lead Entities,' provide coordination and oversight of community-based services through a network of local providers, known as their Regional Service Coalitions. The Lead Entities function as care coordinating entities, funders and monitors of the providers in their Regional Service Coalitions, and as engagement vehicles for increasing and diversifying community-based services for DYRS youth.

The referral process for linking youth to services is as follows. When a youth in DYRS's custody is preparing for community-based placement in the District of Columbia, a Youth Family Team Meeting (YFTM) is held. In that meeting, the youth, members of his or her family, the DYRS Case Manager, other concerned adults, and a representative from one of the Lead Entities discuss the youth's individual strengths and needs. Based on this discussion, the group agrees upon a particular combination of services that will offer the youth the best opportunity for success in the community. Once a core set of desired service linkages is articulated, the Lead Entity representative provides information on the various service providers available. The group then agrees on specific referrals for services, which the Lead Entity is then expected to fill soon after the meeting's close. There are no established quotas for referrals to specific service types, meaning that service referral rates are generally demand driven, based on the individualized youth needs as articulated in YFTM discussions.

Prior to the establishment of the Lead Entity initiative, DYRS contracted directly with community-based organizations. DYRS had one predominant service that it provided to youth in the community: Intensive Third Party Monitoring (ITPM). This service was provided by a handful of vendors, each with individual contacts directly with DYRS. Today, rather than just rely on ITPM, DYRS Case Managers, youth, and their families select from the Lead Entities' range of services that are customized to the youth's skills, interests, and needs.

THE OVERSIGHT PROCESS

In an effort to improve performance and promote accountability, DYRS monitors the services provided to the agency's young people through the DC YouthLink initiative. The results of DYRS' research regarding community-based service provision are reported quarterly in this report. The report focuses heavily on the types of services DYRS youth receive and the frequency with which they receive them. These are the basic building-blocks of rehabilitation and successful reintegration into the District's communities. As possible, the agency also reports on the outcomes of these services, both positive and negative. This includes outcomes such as youth graduating from high school, getting a job, or having new contacts with the justice system.

The Role of Standardized and Data-Driven Oversight

DC YouthLink plays an important role within the DYRS continuum of services by providing support services to youth that meet their unique needs as they transition back into their communities and into adulthood. The Quarterly Performance Report allows for a regularized snapshot of the breadth and depth of services that DYRS youth receive through DC YouthLink and other agency initiatives.

The report, though reporting on outcomes, should not be considered final evaluations of the initiative. Instead, the quarterly reviews are waypoints that mark progress toward the goal of comprehensive service provision and effective rehabilitation. The reports provide opportunities for course correction at regular intervals.

Overview of the Report's Framework and Methodology

The Positive Youth Justice & Public Safety Framework

In designing its oversight plan for the Lead Entity initiative, DYRS drew heavily from the evidence-based Positive Youth Justice framework developed by researchers led by Jeffery Butts, Executive Director of the Criminal Justice Research and Evaluation Center at John Jay College of Criminal Justice in New York City. The framework builds on the substantial body of evidence supporting Positive Youth Development (PYD) as a general strategy for helping young people transition to a positive adulthood. Most PYD research, though, focuses on youth outside of the juvenile justice system. Dr. Butts and his colleagues, in their research surrounding Positive Youth Justice, tailor what we know about PYD to the specific needs of court-involved youth. Their research, presented in the paper, "Positive Youth Justice: Framing Justice Interventions Using the Concepts of Positive Youth Development,"² recommends six domains for engaging court-involved youth:

- Relationships
- Education
- Work
- Health
- Creativity
- Community

To this list, DYRS has added a seventh domain, "Public Safety." Together, these seven areas inform the agency's oversight of the Leads, and it is across these domains that DYRS plans to evaluate the efficacy of the Lead Entity initiative.

² Butts, Jeffrey A., Gordon Bazemore, & Aundra Saa Meroe (2010). Positive Youth Justice--Framing Justice Interventions Using the Concepts of Positive Youth Development. Washington, DC: Coalition for Juvenile Justice. © 2010

Definitions

An **enrollment** refers to a youth's assignment to one of DC YouthLink's two Lead Entities. A Lead Entities' number of enrollments is separate from its number of **unique youth**, as it is possible for a single youth to have multiple enrollments with one or both Lead Entities.³

Community placements include including home placement, independent living, group homes, therapeutic group homes, foster care homes and shelters in the DC Metropolitan area.

A **service linkage** refers to a youth's enrollment with a specific community provider. A linkage differs from a referral in that a linkage is made only after the youth has had at least one successful face-to-face contact with an organization. An **activity** refers to a face-to-face contact with a youth by a service provider.

The **Service Coalition** are community-based organizations that have a contractual relationship with the one or both of the Lead Entities. Members of the Service Coalition are denoted with a plus sign (+) in the margin next to their name. DYRS youth also have access to services provided by other and organizations, such as Core Service Agencies that contract with the Department of Mental Health. These service

³ Youth are generally referred to a Lead Entity prior to their arrival in a community-based placement so that the Lead can identify service providers in anticipation of the youth's arrival. However, for the purposes of this report, which looks primarily at the number and rate of service activities a youth has while in the community, an enrollment is considered to begin only when the youth is living in a community placement. An enrollment is considered terminated if one of the following occurs: (1) the youth has his assignment to the Lead Entity formally ended; (2) the youth is removed from the community placement for 30 days or more; or (3) the youth is on abscondence status for 30 days or more.

linkages are reported in the Quarterly Report as well, but have no plus sign.

A **re-arrest** includes any new adult or juvenile arrest that occurred at some point during the quarter while the youth was enrolled with DC YouthLink, or following an abscondence that resulted in the termination of a DC YouthLink enrollment. A **re-petition** occurs when a prosecuting body, such as the Office of the Attorney General or the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia, formally charges the youth with an offense. The **top charge** for an arrest is the most serious crime cited in the police report.

A youth is **revoked** from a community placement when he or she is removed from a community-based placement and placed in a residential treatment center or other secure facility for at least 30 days.

An **abscondence** occurs when a youth is not where he or she is supposed to be according to the provisions in his or her Community Release Agreement. Abscondences can include missed curfews and the failure to attend school or a required appointment.

Data collection methods

The data used to populate the report are drawn from the agency's youth database. When youth are linked to a community-based service provider, it is noted in his or her file. Subsequently, following each activity that the provider completes with the youth, the Service Coalition members are required to record that they were with the youth, document specific start and end times for the activity, and provide a description of how that time was spent.⁴ Both

⁴ The process of training Service Coalition members to accurately record activities in the DYRS database, and the process of refining appropriate processes and codings, meant that tracking of individual

the Lead Entities and DYRS regularly check these records to ensure that the information they hold is reliable. Service Coalition Members are also instructed to bookmark youth milestones, such as attaining a high-school credential or starting a job, in the youth's file.

At the close of each quarter, DYRS extracts all the information from each youth's record in order to perform an aggregate analysis of the services received by committed youth and the milestones each youth has achieved.

The data is first 'scrubbed' to identify and correct data entry errors and, as necessary, account for time lags between enrollment with a Lead Entity and arrival in a community-based placement. For analysis of DC YouthLink programming specific to a particular quarter or fiscal year, the data is further honed to include only those youth who were enrolled with a Lead Entity and present in the community for at least seven days during the reporting period. This narrowing of the data set is conducted because the rate of service provision is often only one youth contact per week. For youth who were linked with a service provider for less than one week during a particular reporting period, there may not have been sufficient opportunity to engage with the young person.

Once the complete set of data is established, an analyst in DYRS's Office of Research and Quality Assurance conducts aggregate level analysis of each youth's service linkages and rate of activities. The results of this analysis

activities within the DYRS database was not fully launched until this past Quarter.⁴ In May 2011 DYRS launched a pilot for tracking activities in the database. Then, after the pilot ended successfully, on June 1, 2011 all Service Coalition members were expected to begin tracking their youth contacts in the DYRS system. For this reason, FY2011 activity reporting did not begin until June 1, 2011

constitute the bulk of the Quarterly Performance Report's data.

Data Collection and Analysis Challenges

Data collection for an initiative as large as DC YouthLink presents many challenges. Service Coalition members are diverse in the types of interventions they provided for DYRS youth, as well as in their technical capacity for documenting the work they do. In order to maximize data reliability, each member of DC YouthLink has been trained in appropriate data entry through the DYRS data system. DYRS also conducts random audits of youths' electronic files to further verify that Service Coalition members are accurately and appropriately documenting their activities. Even with these safeguards, however, there is room for data entry error.

In working with the provider community to document their activities in a uniform manner, DYRS prioritized the documentation of youth contacts. Individual contacts were prioritized because this information allows the agency to (1) quickly identify youth who have not been in regular contact with a DC YouthLink provider, (2) hold Service Coalition members accountable for the level of engagement they achieve with youth they are assigned, and (3) verify the hours of engagement reported by Service Coalition members through the budgeting and payment process. With all members appropriately documenting their contacts with DYRS youth, the agency has moved on to prioritize the documentation of youth's "milestones," such as earning a high school diploma or starting a new job. The milestones were introduced during the fourth quarter of FY2011. Reporting of these sorts of achievements prior to FY2012 was not uniform or centralized, and therefore was likely to be under-counted. The agency believes, however, that the appropriate reporting

structures are in place for FY2012 to accurately capture these important events.

Another challenge in data collection is the frequency with which some youth may enter or exit their community placement. Some young people who are living in a community-based placement may be temporarily removed from the community (less than seven days) as a result of court proceedings, or out of concern for the safety of the young person or the community. Analysts in DYRS' Office of Research and Quality Assurance have reviewed many of the files of DC YouthLink youth in order to identify or verify these types of interruptions in a youth's community stay. Nevertheless, it is possible that these sorts of short out-of-placement stays, when not fully captured, could affect the aggregates data at the margins.

Finally, there are times when young people's support services are not fully documented in

their files. This is a result of data entry error, and occurs most frequently when young people receive services through Core Service Agencies, as a result of historical data entry practices.

DYRS believes the information presented in this report is accurate, but recognizes the possibility that human error could inadvertently generate some inaccuracies in reported data. Indeed, one such error has been identified in the FY2011 Q3 Quarterly Performance Report. A bug in the computer-generated data reports excluded youth who had received services from DC YouthLink in the 3rd Quarter, but whose commitment ended prior to the date of the initial data pull in early July, 2011. This primarily resulted in an under-reporting of the youth served by DC YouthLink during that quarter. The amended numbers are reflected in the FY2011 Q4 Quarterly Performance Report, and the computer glitch has been fixed.

INTERPRETING THE DATA TABLES IN THE REPORT

The tables included in the Quarterly Performance Report are data-rich to allow the reader to identify relevant trends and points of comparison. For each measure, the tables provide three types of information:

- Quarter Comparison
- Fiscal Year Comparison
- Overall Summary Data

The quarterly comparison provides the data from the most recent reporting period as it compares to the previous quarter as well as the same quarter the previous year.

	Quarter Comparison					
	Q4		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
DC Youth Link						
Male	355	87%	453	87%	463	90%
Female	52	13%	70	13%	49	10%

Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers beneath the heading “#” represent the count of unique youth. The “%” represents the percent of unique youth enrolled in DC YouthLink during the reporting period. For example, the 355 males indicated in the table above represent 87% of the 407 total unique youth served by DC YouthLink the fourth quarter.

	Fiscal Year Comparison			
	FY 2011		FY 2010	
	#	%	#	%
DC Youth Link				
Male	668	88%	538	91%
Female	88	12%	56	9%

Fiscal Year comparisons for each measure compare the current fiscal year’s to-date data as

compared to the year-to-date data from the previous year.

Finally, the Summary section provides aggregated data over the entire length of data collection. Using the example above, during the fourth quarter of 2011, 355 males were enrolled with DC YouthLink, representing 87% of the population. During the entirety of FY2011, 603 unique males have been enrolled. Since the beginning of FY2010, 817 males have received DC YouthLink services, representing 89% of the initiative’s served population.

In the eight fiscal quarters that DYRS has been

	Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link					
Male	817	89%	87%	97%	
Female	103	11%	3%	13%	

collecting data on DC YouthLink enrollments, the males have represented, at maximum, 97% of the youth served, and at their lowest, 87%. This is indicated in the “Min” and “Max” column.

The Sparkline column provides a micro-graph that gives the reader a visual indication of the overall trend for a particular measure in quarterly intervals. For enrollment data, the sparklines begin in the first quarter of FY2010 and document each quarter’s value up to the present. The sparkline is annotated with a green dot, indicating the quarter with the maximum value, and a red dot indicating the quarter, or quarters, with the minimum value.

Because they are meant to show directional trends, the sparklines have independent axes, meaning that they are each on their own scale. For example, the gender sparklines in the examples above, with the male sparkline sloping downward and the female sparkline sloping

upward, indicate that the general trend for FY2010-FY2011 was that females increasingly represented a larger proportion of the population over time. Despite the females' sparkline ending visually higher than the males' sparkline, females nevertheless continue to represent a significant minority of the DC YouthLink youth served.

The tables reporting on youth engagement through DC YouthLink activities are structured in a similar manner to the enrollment tables, with slight adjustments. Here, the focus is on the number of hours of engagement a youth received during the reporting period. Hours per week is calculated by multiplying the average contacts that a service provider has with a youth each week by the average length of each contact:

$$\text{hours per week} = (\text{activities per week}) \times (\text{hours per activity})$$

In these tables, all three data points are provided. An important note is that the hours and activity counts documented in these tables refer only to youth linked to the indicated service. While the overall DC YouthLink hours per week calculation reports the average numbers of overall engagement for all DC YouthLink youth, the hours reported within a particular domain are calculated only for youth linked to a service within that domain.

	Quarter Comparison					
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Contact	
	Q4	Q3*	Q4	Q3*	Q4	Q3*
DC Youth Link	5.9	7.6	2.1	3.1	2.8	2.5

INDEX OF TABLES

I. Youth Engagement

A. Demographics of Youth Serviced by the Leads

1. *Number of youth enrolled with DC YouthLink*
2. *Sex*
3. *Race*
4. *Age*

B. Services per Enrollment

1. *Average services per enrollment – overall*
2. *Average services per enrollment – Service Coalition*
3. *Average services during the reporting period – overall*
4. *Average services during the reporting period – Service Coalition*

C. Service Connections by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain

1. *Count of Youth Connected to Services by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain – overall*
2. *Count of Youth Connected to Services by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain – Service Coalition*

D. Average Weekly Contacts

1. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth*
2. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth by PYJ Domain*

II. Public Safety

A. Youth Killed or Charged with Murder

1. *Youth killed*
2. *Youth charged with murder*

B. Re-Conviction and Re-Arrests

1. *Youth re-convicted* 
2. *Youth re-convicted, by top charge* 
3. *Youth re-arrested*
4. *Youth re-arrested, by top charge*

C. Revocation

1. *Youth removed from community placements*

D. Abscondences

1. *Youth who absconded while enrolled in DC YouthLink*
2. *Abscondence one-day snapshot*

E. Linkage to Public Safety-Focused Services

1. *Linkage to a Public Safety-focused service*

III. Relationships

A. Linkage to a relationship-building service

1. *Youth linked to a relationship-building service, by type*
2. *Youth linked to a relationship-building service, by provider*

B. Engagement with relationship-building activities

1. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth*
2. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth, by provider*

IV. Work

A. Workforce Outcomes

1. *Youth Earning Employment* 
2. *Youth Maintaining Employment* 
3. *Youth Earning Professional Certification* 
4. *Youth Participating in Internship* 

B. Linkage to a work readiness program

1. *Youth linked to a work readiness program, by type*
2. *Youth linked to a work readiness program, by provider*
3. *Youth linked to a work readiness program, by age*

C. Engagement with work readiness activities

1. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth*

2. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth, by provider*

V. Education

A. Educational Outcomes

1. *Youth Enrolled in Post-Secondary Education* 
2. *Youth achieving a high school credential*

B. Linkage to an educational support service

1. *Youth linked to an educational support service, by type*
2. *Youth linked to an educational support service, by provider*
3. *Youth linked to an educational support service, by age*

C. Engagement with educational support activities

1. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth*
2. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth, by provider*

VI. Health

A. Linkage to a health-focused service

1. *Youth linked to a health-focused service, by type*
2. *Youth linked to a health-focused service, by provider*
3. *Youth linked to a health-focused service, by age*

B. Engagement with health-focused activities

1. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth*
2. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth, by provider*

VII. Community

A. Linkage to a community participation service

1. *Youth linked to a community participation service, by type*
2. *Youth linked to a community participation service, by provider*
3. *Youth linked to a community participation service, by age*

B. Engagement with community participation activities

1. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth*

VIII. Creativity

A. Linkage to a creative expression service

1. *Youth linked to a creative expression service, by type*
2. *Youth linked to a creative expression service, by provider*
3. *Youth linked to a creative expression service, by age*

B. Engagement with creative expression activities

1. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth*
2. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth, by provider*

Data Tables

I. Youth Engagement

I.A Demographics of Youth Served by DC YouthLink

1. Number of youth enrolled with DC Youth Link

Count of unique youth. Includes all Lead-connected youth in community-based placements in the DC Metropolitan area. For quarterly measures, excludes youth who were on absence status for the entire duration of their Lead enrollment during the reporting period, and youth enrolled with the Lead for less than 1 week during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Total	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	394	407	525	394	756	976	399	75	525	
ERCPCP	249	264	340	249	496	657	260	47	348	
Progressive Life Center	148	149	191	148	291	375	143	28	191	

2. Sex

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Male	343	87%	355	87%	470	90%	343	87%	668	88%	865	89%	87%	97%	
Female	51	13%	52	13%	55	10%	51	13%	88	22%	111	11%	3%	13%	
ERCPCP															
Male	214	86%	229	87%	304	89%	214	86%	434	88%	577	88%	86%	98%	
Female	35	14%	35	13%	36	11%	35	14%	62	25%	80	12%	2%	14%	
Progressive Life															
Male	132	89%	132	89%	171	90%	132	89%	258	89%	333	89%	85%	96%	
Female	16	11%	17	11%	20	10%	16	11%	33	22%	42	11%	4%	15%	

3. Race

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Black	372	94%	390	96%	519	99%	372	94%	731	97%	954	98%	94%	100%	
Latino	12	3%	16	4%	10	2%	12	3%	16	2%	21	2.2%	0%	4.0%	
White	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0.1%	0%	0.2%	
ERCPCP															
Black	247	99%	258	98%	343	101%	247	99%	489	99%	654	99.5%	97%	101%	
Latino	2	1%	6	2%	1	0%	2	1%	2	0%	3	0.5%	0%	2.9%	
White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Progressive Life															
Black	138	93%	138	93%	182	95%	138	93%	273	94%	357	95%	93%	100%	
Latino	10	7%	10	7%	9	5%	10	7%	14	5%	19	5.1%	0%	6.8%	
White	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0.3%	0%	0.7%	

4. Age*

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q1		FY11 Q3		FY10 Q4		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	139	35%	155	38%	248	47%	139	35%	329	44%	34%	55%	
Over 18	255	65%	252	62%	277	53%	255	65%	427	56%	45%	66%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	86	35%	101	38%	164	48%	86	35%	218	44%	35%	55%	
Over 18	163	65%	163	62%	176	52%	163	65%	278	56%	45%	65%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	53	36%	55	37%	87	46%	53	36%	123	42%	31%	56%	
Over 18	95	64%	94	63%	104	54%	95	64%	168	58%	46%	69%	

* Age calculated on the final day of the reporting period for quarterly indicators, and at the mid-year point for annual measures

I.B Services per Enrollment

1. Average services per enrollment - overall

Count of unique services provided to each youth during the entirety of his/her Lead Entity enrollment. Calculated by taking the sum of unique services divided by the number of unique enrollments. The data is constrained to youth discharged during the reporting period. Includes services provided by Service Coalition members and other partners.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q1	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	3.0	3.8	2.3	3.0	2.8	2.6	1.0	4.0	
ERCPCP	2.9	3.8	2.2	2.9	2.9	2.6	1.0	4.3	
Progressive Life Center	3.3	3.7	2.6	3.3	2.7	2.5	1.0	3.7	

2. Average services per enrollment - Service Coalition

Includes only services provided by Service Coalition members.

	Current Reporting Period (FY11-Q1)			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q1	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	2.2	2.8	2.2	2.2	2.6	1.9	1.0	3.1	
ERCPCP	2.0	2.8	2.0	2.0	2.6	2.0	1.0	2.8	
Progressive Life Center	2.5	2.8	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.0	1.0	2.8	

3. Average services during the reporting period - overall

Count of unique services provided to each youth during a single reporting period. Includes services provided by both Service Coalition members and other partners.

	Current Reporting Period (FY11-Q1)			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q1	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	2.6	2.2	1.8	2.6	3.0	1.9	1.0	2.6	
ERCPCP	2.6	2.1	1.8	2.6	3.1	2.0	1.1	2.8	
Progressive Life Center	2.8	2.2	1.7	2.8	2.8	1.9	1.0	2.8	

4. Average services during the reporting period - Service Coalition

Count of unique services provided to each youth during a single reporting period. Includes only services provided by Service Coalition members.

	Current Reporting Period (FY11-Q1)			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q1	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	1.9	2.0	1.7	1.9	2.5	1.7	1.1	2.0	
ERCPCP	1.9	2.2	1.6	1.9	2.6	1.7	1.1	2.2	
Progressive Life Center	2.1	1.8	1.8	2.1	2.3	1.7	1.1	2.1	

I.C Service Connections by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain

1. Count of Youth Connected to Services by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain - Overall

Count of unique youth linked to services within each Positive Youth Justice Domain. Includes community-based services from both Service Coalition members and other partners.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Relationships	311	79%	271	67%	265	50%	311	79%	517	68%	596	61%	7%	79%	
Public Safety	176	45%	180	44%	322	61%	176	45%	541	72%	691	71%	44%	91%	
Work	122	31%	117	29%	64	12%	122	31%	200	26%	221	23%	0%	31%	
Education	103	26%	102	25%	122	23%	103	26%	265	35%	301	31%	0%	35%	
Health	101	26%	91	22%	85	16%	101	26%	190	25%	220	23%	0%	26%	
Creativity	4	1%	3	1%	8	2%	4	1%	12	2%	17	2%	0%	2%	
Community	1	0%	3	1%	1	0%	1	0%	3	0%	4	0%	0%	1%	
DCYL Youth Linkages	380	96%	369	91%	438	83%	380	96%	684	90%	920	94%	80%	100%	
ERCPCP															
Relationships	204	82%	185	70%	182	54%	204	82%	357	72%	403	61%	4%	82%	
Public Safety	107	43%	111	42%	206	61%	107	43%	351	71%	446	68%	33%	91%	
Work	82	33%	73	28%	22	6%	82	33%	115	23%	129	20%	0%	33%	
Education	60	24%	53	20%	70	21%	60	24%	173	35%	191	29%	0%	34%	
Health	46	18%	61	23%	55	16%	46	18%	136	27%	158	24%	0%	31%	
Creativity	3	1%	3	1%	8	2%	3	1%	12	2%	17	3%	0%	3%	
Community	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	3	0%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP Youth Linkages	241	97%	247	94%	284	84%	241	97%	458	92%	617	94%	45%	100%	
Progressive Life Center															
Relationships	110	74%	88	59%	87	46%	110	74%	173	59%	211	56%	11%	74%	
Public Safety	72	49%	69	46%	121	63%	72	49%	200	69%	268	71%	42%	89%	
Work	43	29%	45	30%	27	14%	43	29%	88	30%	95	25%	0%	30%	
Education	44	30%	34	23%	50	26%	44	30%	94	32%	114	30%	0%	34%	
Health	56	38%	32	21%	17	9%	56	38%	57	20%	65	17%	0%	38%	
Creativity	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	1%	
Community	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
PLC Youth Linkages	144	97%	126	85%	159	83%	144	97%	252	87%	356	95%	54%	100%	

2. Count of Youth Connected to Services by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain - Service Coalition

Count of unique youth linked to services within each Positive youth Justice Domain. Includes only community-based services from Service Coalition members.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2010		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Relationships	308	78%	267	66%	265	50%	308	78%	506	67%	564	58%	7%	78%	
Public Safety	15	4%	14	3%	270	51%	15	4%	302	40%	495	51%	3%	91%	
Work	125	32%	100	25%	43	8%	125	32%	169	22%	178	18%	0%	32%	
Education	98	25%	78	19%	89	17%	98	25%	265	35%	258	26%	0%	29%	
Health	83	21%	72	18%	65	12%	83	21%	152	20%	169	17%	0%	21%	
Creativity	1	0%	3	1%	9	2%	1	0%	12	2%	17	2%	0%	2%	
Community	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	0%	0%	
DCYL Youth Linkages	368	93%	343	84%	423	81%	368	93%	650	86%	810	83%	76%	93%	
ERCPCP															
Relationships	198	80%	184	70%	175	51%	198	80%	348	70%	373	57%	4%	80%	
Public Safety	9	4%	7	3%	168	49%	9	4%	183	37%	310	47%	3%	91%	
Work	82	33%	63	24%	23	7%	82	33%	95	19%	99	15%	0%	33%	
Education	56	22%	50	19%	73	21%	56	22%	171	34%	187	28%	0%	34%	
Health	31	12%	43	16%	56	16%	31	12%	108	22%	124	19%	0%	24%	
Creativity	0	0%	3	1%	9	3%	0	0%	12	2%	17	3%	0%	3%	
Community	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP Youth Linkages	234	94%	232	88%	278	82%	234	94%	436	88%	537	82%	4%	95%	
Progressive Life Center															
Relationships	110	74%	85	57%	94	49%	110	74%	170	58%	208	55%	11%	74%	
Public Safety	6	4%	7	5%	108	57%	6	4%	124	43%	200	53%	4%	89%	
Work	43	29%	38	26%	20	10%	43	29%	77	26%	82	22%	0%	29%	
Education	42	28%	29	19%	17	9%	42	28%	63	22%	74	20%	0%	28%	
Health	52	35%	29	19%	9	5%	52	35%	45	15%	46	12%	0%	35%	
Creativity	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	1%	
Community	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
PLC Youth Linkages	139	94%	114	77%	153	80%	139	94%	234	80%	311	83%	68%	94%	

I.D Average Weekly Contacts

1. Average weekly hours of contact per youth

	Quarter Comparison					
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity	
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4
DC Youth Link	5.2	5.9	1.9	2.1	2.7	2.8
ERCPCP	4.5	5.1	1.7	1.9	2.6	2.7
Progressive Life	6.2	7.3	2.2	2.5	2.9	2.9

*Activity hours not reported for aggregation prior to June 1, 2011. All Q3 and FY11 data is calculated from June 1 through the end of the reporting period.

Fiscal Year Comparison

Hours per Week	
FY12	FY11
5.2	6.0
4.5	5.5
6.2	6.9

Summary Data

Hours per Week		
Min	Max	Sparkline
5.2	7.6	
4.5	7.5	
6.2	8.2	

2. Average Hours of Contact per week by PYJ Domain

	Quarter Comparison					
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity	
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4
DC Youth Link						
Relationships	5.6	4.4	1.8	1.5	3.1	2.9
Work	2.3	3.8	0.5	1.2	2.1	3.2
Education	7.8	5.8	2.7	1.9	2.9	3.0
Health	3.1	4.3	1.5	1.5	2.1	2.8
Creativity	8.6	7.6	2.9	2.4	3.0	3.2
Community	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCYL Avg Hours of Contact	5.2	5.9	1.9	2.0	2.7	2.9
ERCPCP						
Relationships	4.8	4.1	1.7	1.5	2.8	2.7
Work	1.7	2.6	0.3	0.9	2.5	3.0
Education	8.5	5.4	2.9	1.8	3.0	3.0
Health	1.4	3.6	0.9	1.3	1.6	2.8
Creativity	8.6	7.6	2.9	2.4	3.0	3.2
Community	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERCPCP Avg Hours of Contact	4.5	5.1	1.7	1.8	2.6	2.8

Fiscal Year Comparison

Hours per Week	
FY12	FY11
5.6	4.1
2.3	3.6
7.8	5.5
3.1	4.1
8.6	6.7
-	-
5.2	6.0
4.8	3.8
1.7	2.6
8.5	5.4
1.4	3.7
8.6	6.7
-	-
4.5	5.6

Summary Data

Hours per Week		
Min	Max	Sparkline
1.5	5.6	
1.2	4.1	
1.9	7.8	
1.5	4.9	
1.2	8.6	
0.0	0.0	
2.0	7.8	
4.1	5.2	
1.7	3.6	
5.4	8.5	
1.4	4.0	
6.3	8.6	
0.0	0.0	
4.5	7.6	

2. Average Hours of Contact per Week (cont'd)

	Quarter Comparison					
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity	
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4
Progressive Life						
Relationships	7.2	4.9	2.0	1.5	3.7	3.2
Work	2.9	5.1	0.7	1.5	1.7	3.3
Education	7.1	6.2	2.6	2.0	2.8	3.1
Health	3.9	5.7	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.8
Creativity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLC Avg Hours of Contact	6.2	7.3	2.2	2.3	2.9	3.1

Fiscal Year Comparison

Hours per Week	
FY12	FY11
7.2	4.7
2.9	5.4
7.1	5.8
3.9	5.2
-	-
-	-
6.2	6.9

Summary Data

Hours per Week		
Min	Max	Sparkline
4.9	7.2	
2.9	8.4	
6.2	7.4	
3.9	7.2	
-	-	
-	-	
6.2	8.4	

*Activity hours not reported for aggregation prior to June 1, 2011. All Q3 and FY11 data is calculated from June 1 through the end of the reporting period.

II. Public Safety

II.A Youth Killed or Charged with Murder

1. Youth killed

Count of youth who are killed while enrolled with the Lead Entity

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DCYL youth killed	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	8	1.1%	16	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%	
ERCPCP	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	4	0.8%	8	1.2%	0.0%	0.7%	
Progressive Life Center	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	4	1.4%	8	2.1%	0.0%	3.6%	
Non-DC Youth Link youth	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	5	-	-	-	
DYRS Total	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	9	-	21	-	-	-	

1. Youth charged with murder

Count of youth who are charged with a murder that occurred during the reporting period and while the youth was enrolled with the Lead Entity

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DCYL youth charged with murder	4	1.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	4	1.0%	2	0.3%	11	1.1%	0.0%	1.0%	
ERCPCP	3	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.2%	1	0.2%	8	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	
Progressive Life Center	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	1	0.7%	1	0.3%	3	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	
Non-DC YouthLink youth	0	-	1	-	4	-	0	-	6	-	19	-	-	-	
DYRS Total	4	-	1	-	5	-	4	-	8	-	30	-	-	-	

II.B Re-Conviction and Re-Arrest

1. Youth re-convicted

Count of unique enrolled youth who are re-convicted for a crime in the District of Columbia while enrolled with DC YouthLink

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 Q3 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link youth re-convicted	20	5%	43	11%	-	-	20	5%	88	15%	107	16%	5%	11%	
ERCPCP	6	2%	28	11%	-	-	6	2%	55	14%	61	14%	2%	11%	
Progressive Life Center	14	9%	15	10%	-	-	14	9%	33	16%	46	19%	9%	11%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 637 total youth, 429 youth linked to ERCPCP and 226 youth linked to PLC. Pending cases are not included. This includes 8 cases for FY2012 Q1 (ERCPCP 4/PLC 4), 11 cases for FY2011 Q4 (8/3), and 6 cases for FY 2011 Q3 (5/1)

2. Youth re-convicted, by top charge

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 Q3 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Violent Felony	4	1%	11	3%	-	-	4	1%	19	3%	23	3%	1%	3%	
Violent Misdemeanor	2	1%	3	1%	-	-	2	1%	13	2%	15	2%	1%	2%	
Weapons	3	1%	5	1%	-	-	3	1%	9	2%	12	2%	1%	1%	
Drug	6	2%	9	2%	-	-	6	2%	14	2%	20	3%	1%	2%	
Property	3	1%	12	3%	-	-	3	1%	23	4%	26	4%	1%	3%	
Public	1	0%	1	0%	-	-	1	0%	7	1%	8	1%	0%	1%	
PINS	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Other	1	0%	2	0%	-	-	1	0%	6	1%	7	1%	0%	1%	
Total DCYL Re-Convicted	20	5%	43	11%	-	-	20	5%	88	15%	106	16%	5%	11%	
ERCPCP															
Violent Felony	1	0%	8	3%	-	-	1	0%	13	3%	14	3%	0%	3%	
Violent Misdemeanor	0	0%	1	0%	-	-	0	0%	7	2%	7	2%	0%	2%	
Weapons	2	1%	4	2%	-	-	2	1%	5	1%	7	2%	0%	2%	
Drug	2	1%	7	3%	-	-	2	1%	12	3%	14	3%	1%	3%	
Property	1	0%	7	3%	-	-	1	0%	13	3%	14	3%	0%	3%	
Public	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%	0%	1%	
PINS	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Other	0	0%	1	0%	-	-	0	0%	3	1%	3	1%	0%	1%	
Total ERCPCP Re-Convicted	6	2%	28	11%	-	-	6	2%	55	14%	61	14%	2%	11%	
Progressive Life Center															
Violent Felony	3	2%	3	2%	-	-	3	2%	6	3%	9	4%	2%	2%	
Violent Misdemeanor	2	1%	2	1%	-	-	2	1%	6	3%	8	3%	1%	2%	
Weapons	1	1%	1	1%	-	-	1	1%	4	2%	5	2%	1%	2%	
Drug	4	3%	2	1%	-	-	4	3%	2	1%	6	2%	0%	3%	
Property	2	1%	5	3%	-	-	2	1%	10	5%	12	5%	1%	3%	
Public	1	1%	1	1%	-	-	1	1%	3	1%	4	2%	1%	1%	
PINS	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Other	1	1%	1	1%	-	-	1	1%	3	1%	4	2%	1%	1%	
Total PLC Re-Convicted	14	9%	15	10%	-	-	14	9%	33	16%	45	19%	9%	11%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 637 total youth, 429 youth linked to ERCPCP and 226 youth linked to PLC. Pending cases are not included. This includes 8 cases for FY2012 Q1 (ERCPCP 4/PLC 4), 11 cases for FY2011 Q4 (8/3), and 6 cases for FY 2011 Q3 (5/1)

3. Youth re-arrested

Count of unique enrolled youth who are re-arrested by the Metropolitan Police Department.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link youth re-arrested	50	13%	98	24%	-	-	50	13%	161	27%	196	31%	13%	24%	
ERCPCP	22	9%	63	24%	-	-	22	9%	105	26%	122	28%	9%	24%	
Progressive Life Center	28	19%	35	23%	-	-	28	19%	56	27%	74	33%	17%	23%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 637 total youth, 429 youth linked to ERCPCP and 226 youth linked to PLC.

4. Youth re-arrested, by top charge

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011*		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Violent Felony	15	4%	19	5%	-	-	15	4%	29	5%	44	7%	2%	5%	
Violent Misdemeanor	7	2%	11	3%	-	-	7	2%	29	5%	36	6%	2%	3%	
Weapons	6	2%	4	1%	-	-	6	2%	11	2%	17	3%	1%	2%	
Drug	10	3%	20	5%	-	-	10	3%	29	5%	38	6%	2%	5%	
Property	6	2%	32	8%	-	-	6	2%	49	8%	54	8%	2%	8%	
Public	3	1%	1	0%	-	-	3	1%	12	2%	13	2%	0%	1%	
PINS	2	1%	1	0%	-	-	2	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Other	1	0%	10	2%	-	-	1	0%	17	3%	22	3%	0%	3%	
Total DCYL Re-Arrests	50	13%	98	24%	-	-	50	13%	161	27%	196	31%	13%	24%	
ERCPCP															
Violent Felony	7	3%	12	5%	-	-	7	3%	21	5%	28	7%	3%	5%	
Violent Misdemeanor	2	1%	6	2%	-	-	2	1%	17	4%	19	4%	1%	3%	
Weapons	5	2%	3	1%	-	-	5	2%	7	2%	12	3%	1%	2%	
Drug	4	2%	14	5%	-	-	4	2%	23	6%	25	6%	2%	5%	
Property	2	1%	20	8%	-	-	2	1%	29	7%	31	7%	1%	8%	
Public	1	0%	0	0%	-	-	1	0%	7	2%	7	2%	0%	1%	
PINS	1	0%	1	0%	-	-	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
Other	0	0%	7	3%	-	-	0	0%	10	3%	12	3%	0%	3%	
Total ERCPCP Re-Arrests	22	9%	63	24%	-	-	22	9%	105	26%	122	28%	9%	24%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 637 total youth, 429 youth linked to ERCPCP and 226 youth linked to PLC.

4. Youth re-arrested, by top charge (cont'd)

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011*		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Progressive Life Center															
Violent Felony	8	5%	7	5%	-	-	8	5%	8	4%	16	7%	2%	5%	
Violent Misdemeanor	5	3%	5	3%	-	-	5	3%	12	6%	17	8%	3%	3%	
Weapons	1	1%	1	1%	-	-	1	1%	4	2%	5	2%	1%	1%	
Drug	6	4%	6	4%	-	-	6	4%	6	3%	13	6%	1%	4%	
Property	4	3%	12	8%	-	-	4	3%	20	9%	23	10%	3%	8%	
Public	2	1%	1	1%	-	-	2	1%	1	0%	6	3%	1%	1%	
PINS	1	1%	0	0%	-	-	1	1%	3	1%	0	0%	0%	1%	
Other	1	1%	3	2%	-	-	1	1%	9	4%	10	4%	1%	4%	
Total PLC Re-Arrests	28	19%	35	23%	-	-	28	19%	56	27%	74	33%	17%	23%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 637 total youth, 429 youth linked to ERCPCP and 226 youth linked to PLC.

II.C Revocations

1. Youth removed from community placements

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10* - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link Revocations	15	4%	14	3%	17	3%	15	4%	68	9%	152	16%	2.8%	7.8%	
ERCPCP	13	5%	7	3%	13	4%	13	5%	48	10%	112	17%	2.7%	9.2%	
Progressive Life Center	2	1%	7	5%	4	2%	2	1%	20	7%	40	11%	1.4%	5.3%	
Non-DC Youth Link youth	8		12		15		8		56		101				

* FY10 data collection began in January, 2010 and therefore does not include the first quarter. To allow for comparison of fiscal years, the FY10Q1 data has been substituted with a projection based on the average quarterly revocations from the remaining 3 quarters of FY10, calculated by taking the average rate of revocation for the three subsequent quarters and applying it to the first quarter population.

II.D Abscondences

1. Youth who absconded while enrolled in DC Youth Link

Count of unique youth who absconded at any point during the reporting period while enrolled with DC Youth Link.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link	36	9%	48	12%	64	12%	36	9%	200	26%	347	36%	9.1%	18.2%	
ERCPCP	24	10%	31	12%	41	12%	24	10%	129	26%	230	35%	9.6%	20.0%	
Progressive Life Center	12	8%	21	14%	28	15%	12	8%	73	25%	121	32%	8.1%	17.4%	

2. Abscondence one-day snap-shot

Count of unique youth on abscondence status on the final day of the reporting period while enrolled with DC YouthLink. Fiscal year values and aggregate calculation are calculated as the average number of youth on abscondence status at the end of each quarter within the fiscal year.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link	8	2.0%	12	3%	44	8%	8	6%	30	6%	26	7%	2.0%	11.2%	
ERCPCP	6	2.4%	8	3%	29	9%	6	6%	19	6%	17	7%	2.4%	10.6%	
Progressive Life Center	2	1.4%	4	3%	15	8%	2	6%	11	6%	9	6%	1.4%	14.9%	

II.E Linkage to Public Safety Focused Services

1. Linkage to Public Safety focused services

Count of unique youth linked to a public safety-focused service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Electronic Monitoring	166	42%	173	43%	101	19%	166	42%	373	49%	383	39%	0%	43%	
Intensive Third Party Monitoring	15	4%	13	3%	264	50%	15	4%	302	40%	491	50%	3%	93%	
DCYL Public Safety Linkages	176	45%	180	44%	320	61%	176	45%	540	71%	688	70%	44%	93%	
ERCPCP															
Electronic Monitoring	101	41%	107	41%	68	20%	101	41%	251	51%	257	39%	0%	45%	
Intensive Third Party Monitoring	9	4%	7	3%	166	49%	9	4%	185	37%	313	48%	3%	96%	
ERCPCP Public Safety Linkage	107	43%	111	42%	206	61%	107	43%	351	71%	446	68%	42%	96%	
Progressive Life															
Electronic Monitoring	68	46%	66	44%	33	17%	68	46%	127	44%	132	35%	0%	46%	
Intensive Third Party Monitoring	6	4%	6	4%	103	54%	6	4%	122	42%	193	51%	4%	89%	
PLC Public Safety Linkages	72	49%	69	46%	119	62%	72	49%	199	68%	265	71%	42%	89%	

III. Relationships

III.A Linkage to relationship-building services

1. Youth linked to relationship-building services, by type

Count of unique youth linked to a relationship-building service during the reporting period

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Mentoring	303	77%	266	65%	252	48%	303	77%	512	68%	653	67%	17%	77%	
Family Support/Reunification	5	1%	5	1%	0	0%	5	1%	5	1%	5	1%	0%	1%	
Youth Parenting Classes	9	2%	3	1%	8	2%	9	2%	20	3%	34	3%	0%	3%	
Functional Family Therapy	0	0%	1	0%	19	4%	0	0%	21	3%	51	5%	0%	7%	
Multi-Systemic Therapy	3	1%	1	0%	4	1%	3	1%	6	1%	10	1%	0%	1%	
Wrap Around Services	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
Life Skills Counseling	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
DCLY Relationship Linkages	311	79%	271	67%	265	50%	311	79%	528	70%	677	69%	21%	79%	
ERCPCP															
Mentoring	201	81%	185	70%	172	51%	201	81%	354	71%	448	68%	13%	81%	
Functional Family Therapy	0	0%	0	0%	13	4%	0	0%	14	3%	25	4%	0%	4%	
Youth Parenting Classes	4	2%	0	0%	7	2%	4	2%	15	3%	27	4%	0%	4%	
Wrap Around Services	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
Multi-Systemic Therapy	3	1%	1	0%	4	1%	3	1%	6	1%	10	2%	0%	2%	
ERCPCP Relationship Linkages	204	82%	185	70%	182	54%	204	82%	362	73%	462	70%	16%	82%	
Progressive Life															
Mentoring	108	73%	83	56%	83	43%	108	73%	170	58%	226	60%	16%	73%	
Family Support/Reunification	5	3%	5	3%	0	0%	5	3%	5	2%	5	1%	0%	3%	
Youth Parenting Classes	5	3%	3	2%	1	1%	5	3%	5	2%	7	2%	0%	3%	
Life Skills Counseling	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	5	2%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Functional Family Therapy	0	0%	1	1%	7	4%	0	0%	8	3%	28	7%	0%	11%	
PLC Relationship Linkages	110	74%	88	59%	87	46%	110	74%	179	62%	239	64%	19%	74%	

Relationships

2. Youth linked to relationship-building services - by provider

Count of unique youth linked to a relationship-building service provider during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present					
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%						
ERCPCP																
<i>Mentoring</i>																
+ JJ	69	28%	63	24%	25	7%	69	28%	101	20%	122	19%	0%	28%		
+ EE	48	19%	36	14%	14	4%	48	19%	63	13%	92	14%	0%	19%		
+ O	33	13%	38	14%	31	9%	33	13%	83	17%	97	15%	0%	14%		
+ A	12	5%	0	0%	0	0%	12	5%	2	0%	14	2%	0%	5%		
+ M	10	4%	5	2%	0	0%	10	4%	10	2%	17	3%	0%	4%		
+ GG	7	3%	13	5%	32	9%	7	3%	36	7%	41	6%	1%	9%		
+ AA	16	6%	10	4%	0	0%	16	6%	17	3%	26	4%	0%	6%		
+ Y	8	3%	10	4%	15	4%	8	3%	31	6%	34	5%	0%	9%		
+ H	10	4%	10	4%	0	0%	10	4%	12	2%	18	3%	0%	4%		
+ X	7	3%	9	3%	15	4%	7	3%	19	4%	24	4%	0%	4%		
+ Z	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	0	0%	3	1%	5	1%	0%	1%		
+ U	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%	6	1%	0%	2%		
+ PP	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	7	1%	0%	2%		
Total Mentoring Linkages	201	81%	185	70%	172	51%	201	81%	349	70%	448	68%	13%	81%		
<i>Multi-Systemic Therapy</i>																
+ BB	2	1%	1	0%	4	1%	2	1%	6	1%	9	1%	0%	2%		
+ BBB	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	6	1%	1	0%	0%	0%		
Total MST Linkages	3	1%	1	0%	4	1%	3	1%	3	1%	10	2%	0%	2%		
<i>Youth Parenting Classes</i>																
+ Q	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	9	2%	9	1%	0%	3%		
+ A	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	9	2%	2	0%	0%	1%		
+ SS	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%	0	0%	6	1%	11	2%	0%	2%		
+ B	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	3	0%	0%	1%		
+ TT	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	6	1%	0%	1%		
Total Parenting Class Linkages	4	2%	0	0%	7	2%	4	2%	15	3%	27	4%	0%	4%		

2. Youth linked to relationship-building services - by provider (cont'd)

Count of unique youth linked to a relationship-building service provider during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present					
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%						
Progressive Life																
<i>Mentoring</i>																
+ JJ	11	7%	5	3%	0	0%	11	7%	6	2%	13	3%	0%	7%		
+ EE	17	11%	15	10%	54	28%	17	11%	61	21%	96	26%	1%	31%		
+ O	8	5%	1	1%	0	0%	8	5%	1	0%	6	2%	0%	5%		
+ M	26	18%	28	19%	0	0%	26	18%	34	12%	39	10%	0%	19%		
+ A	30	20%	28	19%	15	8%	30	20%	50	17%	56	15%	0%	28%		
+ AA	4	3%	1	1%	0	0%	4	3%	1	0%	4	1%	0%	3%		
+ Z	5	3%	4	3%	12	6%	5	3%	13	4%	18	5%	1%	6%		
+ H	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	3	1%	0%	1%		
+ NNN	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	9	3%	3	1%	0%	2%		
+ OOO	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	9	3%	1	0%	0%	1%		
+ W	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	9	3%	1	0%	0%	1%		
+ II	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%		
Total Mentoring Linkages	105	71%	83	56%	83	43%	105	71%	164	56%	226	60%	16%	71%		
<i>Family Support/Reunification</i>																
+ KK	5	3%	5	3%	0	0%	5	3%	5	2%	5	1%	0%	3%		
Total Family Support Linkages	5	3%	5	3%	0	0%	5	3%	5	2%	5	1%	0%	3%		
<i>Youth Parenting Classes</i>																
+ A	4	3%	3	2%	1	1%	4	3%	5	2%	6	2%	0%	3%		
+ Q	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	5	2%	1	0%	0%	1%		
Total Parenting Class Linkages	5	3%	3	2%	1	1%	5	3%	5	2%	7	2%	0%	3%		
<i>Life Skills Classes</i>																
+ Q	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%		
Total Parenting Class Linkages	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%		
<i>Functional Family Therapy</i>																
VV	0	0%	1	1%	7	4%	0	0%	8	3%	28	7%	0%	11%		
Total FFT Linkages	0	0%	1	1%	7	4%	0	0%	8	3%	28	7%	0%	11%		

III.B Engagement with relationship-building services

1. Average weekly hours of contact per youth

	Quarter Comparison					
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity	
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4
DC Youth Link						
Mentor	5.6	3.9	1.8	1.5	3.1	2.7
Family Support/Reunification	3.8	1.6	1.0	0.5	3.7	3.5
Youth Parenting Class	5.0	3.8	2.2	1.3	2.3	2.9
Total Youth Linked	5.6	3.9	1.8	1.5	3.1	2.7
ERCPCP						
Mentor	4.9	3.8	1.7	1.4	2.8	2.6
Youth Parenting Class	4.1	-	2.2	-	1.9	-
Total Youth Linked	4.8	3.8	1.7	1.4	2.8	2.6
Progressive Life						
Mentor	7.3	4.3	2.0	1.6	3.7	2.7
Family Support/Reunification	3.3	1.6	0.8	0.5	1.0	3.5
Youth Parenting Class	5.8	3.8	2.1	1.3	2.7	2.9
Total Youth Linked	7.2	4.2	2.0	1.5	3.7	2.8

Fiscal Year Comparison

	Hours per Week	
	FY11*	FY11
DC Youth Link		
Mentor	5.6	3.7
Family Support/Reunification	3.8	1.2
Youth Parenting Class	5.0	5.7
Total Youth Linked	5.6	3.7
ERCPCP		
Mentor	4.9	3.5
Youth Parenting Class	4.1	2.8
Total Youth Linked	4.8	3.5
Progressive Life		
Mentor	7.3	4.1
Family Support/Reunification	3.3	1.2
Youth Parenting Class	5.8	6.7
Total Youth Linked	7.2	4.1

Summary Data

	Hours per Week		
	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link			
Mentor	3.9	5.6	
Family Support/Reunification	1.6	3.8	
Youth Parenting Class	3.8	5.3	
Total Youth Linked	3.9	5.6	
ERCPCP			
Mentor	3.8	4.9	
Youth Parenting Class	2.8	4.1	
Total Youth Linked	3.8	4.8	
Progressive Life			
Mentor	4.3	7.3	
Family Support/Reunification	1.6	3.3	
Youth Parenting Class	3.8	7.8	
Total Youth Linked	4.2	7.2	

2. Average weekly hours of contact per youth, by provider

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data		
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity		Hours per Week		Hours per Week		
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	FY12	FY11	Min	Max	Sparkline
ERCPCP											
<i>Mentoring</i>											
+ JJ	6.9	4.5	1.8	1.4	3.8	3.1	6.9	4.4	4.5	6.9	
+ EE	3.6	3.3	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.7	3.6	3.2	3.3	4.3	
+ O	2.8	2.1	1.1	0.8	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.1	2.1	2.8	
+ M	6.3	3.9	2.4	1.6	2.6	2.3	6.3	4.4	3.9	6.7	
+ GG	2.6	6.1	0.9	2.1	3.0	2.8	2.6	4.9	2.6	6.1	
+ AA	3.8	2.6	1.4	0.8	2.6	3.1	3.8	2.7	2.6	4.8	
+ Y	2.4	2.1	0.9	0.7	2.7	2.9	2.4	2.0	2.1	2.9	
+ H	4.3	3.2	1.3	1.3	3.3	2.5	4.3	2.9	3.2	4.3	
+ X	4.3	3.1	1.3	1.1	3.3	2.9	4.3	2.2	3.1	4.3	
+ Z	-	2.2	-	1.4	-	1.6	-	1.0	0.8	2.2	
+ U	-	3.8	-	1.1	-	3.5	-	4.1	3.8	4.4	
+ A	4.7	-	1.5	-	3.1	-	4.7	4.1	4.7	4.7	
Total Mentoring Engagement	4.9	3.8	1.7	1.4	2.8	2.6	4.9	3.5	3.8	4.9	
<i>Youth Parenting Classes</i>											
+ Q	0.5	-	0.3	-	2.0	-	0.5	2.8	0.5	2.8	
+ A	6.5	-	2.5	-	2.6	-	6.5	2.8	6.5	6.5	
+ B	2.0	-	2.5	-	0.8	-	2.0	2.8	2.0	2.0	
Total Parenting Class Linkages	4.1	-	2.2	-	1.9	-	4.1	2.8	2.8	4.1	
Progressive Life											
<i>Mentoring</i>											
+ EE	2.9	2.0	1.5	1.4	2.0	1.4	2.9	2.3	2.0	3.7	
+ AA	3.1	2.7	1.2	0.1	2.6	2.7	3.1	3.3	2.7	5.4	
+ JJ	8.2	8.6	2.1	2.5	3.9	3.4	8.2	8.1	8.2	10.4	
+ M	9.4	4.2	2.0	1.5	4.8	2.9	9.4	4.1	4.2	9.4	
+ A	9.2	5.8	2.4	1.9	3.8	3.0	9.2	5.5	5.8	9.2	
+ Z	1.2	0.8	1.1	0.5	1.1	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.8	2.1	
+ II	-	2.2	-	1.0	-	2.3	-	1.6	0.7	2.2	
+ W	4.1	-	2.1	-	1.9	-	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	
+ NNN	4.3	-	1.7	-	2.6	-	4.3	5.5	4.3	4.3	
+ O	4.2	-	1.4	-	3.0	-	4.2	1.0	4.2	4.2	
+ H	3.3	-	2.0	-	1.6	-	3.3	1.6	3.3	3.3	
Total Mentoring Engagement	7.3	4.3	2.0	1.6	3.7	2.7	7.3	4.1	4.3	7.3	

2. Average weekly hours of contact per youth, by provider (cont'd)

	Quarter Comparison					
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity	
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4
Progressive Life						
<i>Family Support/Reunification</i>						
+ KK	3.3	1.6	0.8	0.5	4.0	3.5
Total Parenting Class Linkages	3.3	1.6	0.8	0.5	4.0	3.5
<i>Youth Parenting Classes</i>						
+ Q	2.1	-	2.6	-	2.8	-
+ A	7.3	6.3	1.4	2.1	1.5	2.9
Total Parenting Class Linkages	5.8	6.3	2.1	2.1	2.7	2.9

Fiscal Year Comparison

Hours per Week	
FY12	FY11
3.3	1.2
3.3	1.2
2.1	2.8
7.3	6.7
5.8	3.7

Summary Data

Hours per Week		
Min	Max	Sparkline
1.6	3.3	
1.6	3.3	
2.1	2.1	
6.3	7.8	
5.8	7.8	

Relationships

IV. Work

IV.A Workforce Outcomes

1. Youth Earning Employment

Count of unique youth attaining an unsubsidized job.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 - Present			
	Q1 #	Prev. Qtr #	This Qtr Last Yr #	FY 2012 YTD #		FY 2011 #		Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	15	17	1	15		27		42	1	17	
ERCPCP	11	10	1	11		14		25	0	11	
Progressive Life Center	4	7	0	4		13		17	0	7	
<i>Provider Specific</i>											
+ R	9	7	1	9	6%	13	4%	22	1	9	
+ Q	5	2	0	5	3%	3	1%	8	1	5	
+ T	0	4	0		0%	7	2%	7	3	4	
YY	0	4	0		0%	4	1%	4	4	4	
+ W	1	0	0	1	1%		0%	1	1	1	

2. Youth Maintaining Employment

Percent of employed youth who maintain employment for 45 days.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 - Present			
	Q1 #	Prev. Qtr #	This Qtr Last Yr #	FY 2012 YTD #		FY 2011 #		Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	53%	44%	0%	53%		42%		46%	0%	57%	
ERCPCP	46%	56%	0%	46%		54%		50%	0%	66%	
Progressive Life Center	75%	29%	-	75%		31%		41%	0%	75%	
<i>Provider Specific</i>											
+ R	44%	71%	0%	44%		54%		50%	0%	71%	
+ Q	60%	50%	-	60%		33%		50%	0%	60%	
+ T	-	25%	-	-		43%		43%	25%	67%	
YY	-	0%	-	-		0%		0%	0%	0%	
+ W	100%	-	-	100%		-		100%	100%	100%	

3. Youth Earning Professional Certification

Count of unique youth earning a professional certification

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 - Present			
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%				
DC Youth Link	0	0%	10	2%	0	0.0%	0	0%	10	1%	10	0%	2%	
ERCPCP	0	0%	10	4%	0	0.0%	0	0%	10	2%	10	0%	4%	
Progressive Life Center	0	0%	0	0%	0	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	

4. Youth Participating in an Internship

Count of unique youth earning

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%				
DC Youth Link	41		36		12		41		79		129	10	41	
ERCPCP	29		28		6		29		53		91	1	29	
Progressive Life Center	12		8		6		12		26		38	6	12	

IV.B Linkage to a work readiness program

1. Youth linked to a work readiness program, by type

Count of unique youth linked to a work readiness service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link	122	31%	117	29%	64	12.2%	122	31%	200	26%	266	27%	4%	31%	
ERCPCP	82	33%	73	28%	37	10.9%	82	33%	115	23%	165	25%	0%	33%	
Progressive Life Center	43	29%	45	30%	27	14.1%	43	29%	88	30%	109	29%	3%	30%	

2. Youth linked to a work readiness program - by provider

Count of unique youth linked to a work readiness service provider during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present					
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%						
ERCPCP																
<i>Workforce Development</i>																
+ R	61	24%	51	19%	35	10%	61	24%	73	15%	112	17%	3%	24%		
+ T	6	2%	1	0%	0	0%	6	2%	7	1%	9	1%	0%	2%		
YY	0	0%	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%	6	1%	0%	2%		
+ Q	10	4%	9	3%	0	0%	10	4%	14	3%	23	4%	0%	4%		
+ O	10	4%	8	3%	0	0%	10	4%	17	3%	24	4%	0%	4%		
K	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	1%	7	1%	0%	2%		
ZZ	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
Total WFD Linkages	82	33%	73	28%	36	11%	82	33%	115	23%	165	25%	3%	33%		
Progressive Life																
<i>Workforce Development</i>																
+ R	28	19%	25	17%	23	12%	28	19%	57	20%	64	17%	2%	23%		
+ T	6	4%	15	10%	0	0%	6	4%	24	8%	27	7%	0%	10%		
YY	0	0%	8	5%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%	8	2%	0%	5%		
+ Q	6	4%	1	1%	0	0%	6	4%	4	1%	9	2%	0%	4%		
+ O	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	2	1%	0%	1%		
+ W	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%		
E	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%		
Total Linkages	43	29%	45	30%	27	14%	43	29%	88	30%	108	29%	3%	30%		

3. Youth linked to a work readiness program - by age

Count of unique youth linked to a work readiness service during the reporting period. Age calculated as the age of the youth on the final day of the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	19	14%	29	19%	15	6%	19	14%	38	12%	0%	19%	
Over 18	104	41%	89	35%	49	18%	104	41%	162	38%	0%	41%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	13	15%	20	20%	10	6%	13	15%	26	12%	0%	20%	
Over 18	69	42%	54	33%	27	15%	69	42%	89	32%	0%	42%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	6	11%	10	18%	5	6%	6	11%	13	11%	0%	18%	
Over 18	38	40%	35	37%	22	21%	38	40%	75	45%	0%	40%	

Work

III.C Engagement with work-readiness services

1. Average weekly hours of contact per youth

	Quarter Comparison					
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity	
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4
DC Youth Link	2.3	3.7	1.0	1.2	2.2	2.9
ERCPCP	1.7	3.0	0.8	1.0	2.1	3.0
Progressive Life	2.9	4.9	1.3	1.6	2.2	2.8

Fiscal Year Comparison

Hours per Week	
FY12	FY11
2.3	3.6
1.7	2.8
2.9	4.9

Summary Data

Hours per Week		
Min	Max	Sparkline
2.3	4.4	
1.7	4.2	
2.9	5.6	

2. Average weekly hours of contact per youth, by provider

	Quarter Comparison					
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity	
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4
ERCPCP						
<i>Job Readiness</i>						
+ R	-	-	-	-	-	-
+ T	3.5	3.3	2.1	1.5	1.7	2.3
YY	-	4.8	-	1.3	-	3.8
+ O	2.6	2.3	1.0	0.9	2.5	2.5
+ Q	0.4	-	0.4	-	1.1	-
Total Job Readiness Engagemer	1.7	3.0	0.8	1.0	2.1	3.0
Progressive Life						
<i>Job Readiness</i>						
+ R	-	-	-	-	-	-
+ Q	0.5	-	0.4	-	1.2	-
+ W	2.6	-	1.1	-	2.3	-
+ M	3.2	-	1.3	-	2.4	-
+ O	4.1	-	1.5	-	2.8	-
E	1.7	-	1.0	-	1.8	-
+ T	5.1	5.4	1.9	2.0	2.6	2.7
YY	-	3.9	-	1.0	-	3.9
Total Mentoring Engagement	2.9	4.9	1.3	1.6	2.2	2.1

Fiscal Year Comparison

Hours per Week	
FY12	FY11
-	3.4
3.5	3.4
-	4.8
2.6	2.4
0.4	2.4
1.7	2.8
-	-
0.5	3.9
2.6	3.9
3.2	5.4
4.1	3.9
1.7	3.9
5.1	5.4
-	3.9
2.9	4.9

Summary Data

Hours per Week		
Min	Max	Sparkline
0.0	0.0	
3.3	3.5	
4.8	4.8	
2.3	4.2	
0.4	0.4	
1.7	4.2	
0.0	0.0	
0.5	0.5	
2.6	2.6	
3.2	3.2	
4.1	4.1	
1.7	1.7	
5.1	5.6	
3.9	3.9	
2.9	5.6	

V. Education

V.A Educational Outcomes

1. Youth Enrolled in Post-Secondary Education

Count of unique youth beginning enrollment in post-secondary education

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q1 #	Prev. Qtr #	This Qtr Last Yr #	FY 2012 YTD #	FY 2011 #	Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	0	1	0	0	6	11	0	4	
ERCPCP	0	1	0	0	5	8	0	4	
Progressive Life Center	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	

2. Youth achieving a high school credential

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period whose records indicate that they have achieved either a high school diploma or a GED credential.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q1	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	7	1	0	8	10	44	0	7	
ERCPCP	5	0	0	5	9	31	0	5	
Progressive Life Center	2	1	0	3	1	13	0	5	

V.B. Linkage to an educational-support service

1. Youth linked to educational support services - by type

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Academic Support	71	18%	42	10%	59	31%	71	18%	120	16%	156	16%	4%	18%	
Tutoring	39	10%	37	9%	75	39%	39	10%	132	17%	211	22%	4%	23%	
Educational Advoacy	1	0%	8	2%	0	0%	1	0%	15	2%	15	2%	0%	4%	
GED	6	2%	4	1%	4	2%	6	2%	10	1%	10	1%	0%	2%	
DCYL Education Linkages	105	27%	86	21%	122	64%	105	27%	265	35%	328	34%	6%	35%	

1. Youth linked to educational support services - by type (cont'd)

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
ERCPCP															
Academic Support	41	16%	26	10%	41	21%	41	16%	75	15%	114	17%	6%	17%	
Tutoring	16	6%	20	8%	36	19%	16	6%	109	22%	126	19%	1%	23%	
GED	5	2%	2	1%	3	2%	5	2%	8	2%	13	2%	0%	2%	
Educational Advoacy	5	2%	8	3%	0	0%	5	2%	15	3%	15	2%	0%	4%	
ERCPCP Education Linkages	62	25%	53	20%	72	38%	62	25%	173	35%	218	33%	4%	34%	
Progressive Life															
Academic Support	31	21%	17	11%	18	9%	31	21%	42	14%	44	12%	1%	21%	
Tutoring	23	16%	17	11%	39	20%	23	16%	60	21%	85	23%	7%	25%	
GED	1	1%	2	1%	1	1%	1	1%	2	1%	3	1%	0%	1%	
PLC Education Linkages	44	30%	34	23%	50	26%	44	30%	94	32%	131	35%	9%	34%	

2. Youth linked to an educational support service - by Service Coalition member

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present					
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%						
ERCPCP																
<i>Academic Support</i>																
+ I	21	8%	24	9%	39	11%	21	8%	70	14%	87	13%	1%	16%		
J	21	8%	2	1%	0	0%	21	8%	4	1%	24	4%	0%	8%		
ECRPCP Academic Support Li	41	16%	26	10%	41	12%	41	16%	75	15%	115	18%	1%	18%		
<i>Educational Advocacy</i>																
+ N	2	1%	8	3%	0	0%	2	1%	15	3%	15	2%	0%	4%		
ERCPCP Educational Advocacy	2	1%	8	3%	0	0%	2	1%	88	18%	99	15%	0%	4%		
<i>Tutoring</i>																
+ N	3	1%	11	4%	0	0%	3	1%	30	6%	32	5%	0%	8%		
+ JJ	8	3%	3	1%	30	9%	8	3%	51	10%	55	8%	0%	12%		
+ O	2	1%	3	1%	0	0%	2	1%	13	3%	14	2%	0%	3%		
+ H	1	0%	2	1%	0	0%	1	0%	5	1%	6	1%	0%	1%		
+ AA	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	6	1%	6	1%	0%	2%		
+ C	1	0%	1	0%	3	1%	1	0%	2	0%	19	3%	0%	2%		
ERCPCP Tutoring Linkages	16	6%	20	8%	36	11%	16	6%	109	22%	126	19%	1%	23%		
<i>GED</i>																
PPP	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
+ N	2	1%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	3	0%	0%	1%		
G	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	3	0%	0%	1%		
S	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
ERCPCP GED Linkages	5	2%	2	1%	3	1%	5	2%	8	2%	13	2%	0%	2%		

2. Youth linked to an educational support service - by Service Coalition member (cont'd)

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Progressive Life															
<i>Academic Support</i>															
+ I	14	9%	13	9%	0	0%	14	9%	17	6%	31	8%	0%	9%	
J	19	13%	4	3%	0	0%	19	13%	5	2%	20	5%	0%	13%	
PLC Academic Support Linkages	31	21%	17	11%	17	9%	31	21%	42	14%	73	19%	1%	21%	
<i>Tutoring</i>															
+ JJ	9	6%	11	7%	0	0%	9	6%	19	7%	23	6%	0%	8%	
+ O	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
E	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%	2	1%	0%	2%	
+ W	4	3%	4	3%	0	0%	4	3%	5	2%	7	2%	0%	3%	
+ I	6	4%	1	1%	0	0%	6	4%	1	0%	6	2%	0%	4%	
+ II	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	2	1%	0%	1%	
+ H	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
PLC Tutoring Linkages	23	16%	17	11%	39	20%	23	16%	60	21%	85	23%	7%	25%	
<i>GED</i>															
Other	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
G	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
PLC GED Linkages	1	1%	2	1%	1	1%	1	1%	2	1%	3	1%	0%	1%	

Education

3. Youth linked to an educational support service - by age

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period. Age calculated as the age of the youth on the final day of the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	51	37%	29	19%	66	27%	51	37%	86	26%	0%	37%	
Over 18	61	24%	57	23%	56	20%	61	24%	179	42%	0%	38%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	31	36%	17	17%	42	26%	31	36%	54	25%	0%	36%	
Over 18	37	23%	36	22%	30	17%	37	23%	115	41%	0%	38%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	20	38%	12	22%	24	28%	20	38%	28	23%	0%	38%	
Over 18	25	26%	22	23%	26	25%	25	26%	66	39%	0%	37%	

V.C Engagement with educational support activities

1. Average weekly hours of contact per youth

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data		
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity		Hours per Week		Hours per Week		
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	FY11	FY11	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link											
Academic Support	14.2	7.4	4.7	2.5	3.0	3.0	14.2	6.4	7.4	14.2	
Educational Advocacy	3.7	0.6	1.3	0.4	2.9	1.6	3.7	0.9	0.6	3.7	
Tutoring	2.8	3.0	1.4	1.1	2.1	2.7	2.8	3.4	2.8	5.6	
GED	4.8	0.8	1.7	0.5	2.9	1.5	4.8	0.6	0.0	4.8	
DCYL Educational Engagement	7.7	5.2	2.7	1.8	2.9	2.9	7.7	4.6	5.2	7.7	
ERCPCP											
Academic Support	11.3	7.7	3.6	2.6	3.1	3.0	11.3	6.3	7.7	11.3	
Educational Advocacy	3.7	0.6	1.3	0.4	2.9	1.6	3.7	0.9	0.6	3.7	
Tutoring	2.4	2.1	1.2	0.8	2.0	2.5	2.4	3.4	2.1	5.4	
GED	4.8	0.8	1.7	0.1	2.9	1.2	4.8	0.6	0.0	4.8	
ERCPCP Educational Engagem	8.2	4.9	2.7	1.7	3.0	2.9	8.2	4.5	4.9	8.2	
Progressive Life											
Academic Support	12.3	7.1	4.1	2.4	3.0	2.9	12.3	6.5	3.8	12.3	
Tutoring	2.7	3.9	1.3	1.4	2.1	2.8	2.7	4.1	2.7	6.5	
PLC Educational Engagement	7.2	5.5	2.6	1.9	2.8	2.9	7.2	5.1	5.5	7.2	

1. Average weekly hours of contact per youth, by provider

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data		
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity		Hours per Week		Hours per Week		
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	FY12	FY11	Min	Max	Sparkline
ERCPCP											
<i>Academic Support</i>											
+ I	11.3	7.7	3.6	2.6	3.1	3.1	11.3	6.3	7.7	11.3	
ERCPCP Acad. Sup. Engagem	11.3	7.7	3.6	2.6	3.1	3.1	11.3	6.3	7.7	11.3	
<i>Educational Advocacy</i>											
+ N	3.7	0.6	1.3	0.4	2.9	1.6	3.7	0.9	0.6	3.7	
ERCPCP Educ. Adv. Engagem	3.7	0.6	1.3	0.4	2.9	1.6	3.7	0.9	0.6	3.7	
<i>Tutoring</i>											
+ N	3.1	0.9	2.0	0.7	1.6	1.2	3.1	1.1	0.9	3.1	
+ JJ	3.3	6.1	1.4	2.7	2.3	2.3	3.3	8.7	3.3	8.8	
+ O	2.5	3.2	1.2	2.0	2.1	1.6	2.5	1.9	2.2	3.2	
+ H	1.1	0.0	1.0	0.1	1.1	0.0	1.1	1.6	0.0	2.6	
+ AA	1.8	8.4	0.9	1.5	2.0	5.5	1.8	8.1	1.8	8.4	
+ C	0.2	1.9	0.1	0.9	2.0	2.0	0.2	1.9	0.2	1.9	
ERCPCP Tutoring Engagement	2.4	2.1	1.2	0.8	2.0	2.5	2.4	3.4	2.1	5.4	
<i>GED</i>											
+ N	4.8	0.8	1.7	0.5	2.9	1.6	4.8	0.6	0.0	4.8	
ERCPCP GED Engagement	4.8	0.8	1.7	0.5	2.9	1.6	4.8	0.6	0.0	4.8	
Progressive Life											
<i>Academic Support</i>											
+ I	12.3	7.1	4.1	2.4	3.0	2.9	12.3	6.5	3.8	12.3	
PLC Acad. Sup. Engagem	12.3	7.1	4.1	2.4	3.0	2.9	12.3	6.5	3.8	12.3	
<i>Tutoring</i>											
+ JJ	3.2	5.2	1.4	1.7	2.4	3.1	3.2	5.1	3.2	7.4	
+ W	2.7	1.9	1.5	1.0	1.8	1.9	2.7	2.1	1.9	4.3	
+ O	2.0	-	1.3	-	1.6	-	2.0	5.1	2.0	2.0	
+ E	0.4	-	0.3	-	1.3	-	0.4	2.1	0.4	0.4	
+ H	7.0	-	3.5	-	2.0	-	7.0	5.1	7.0	7.0	
+ II	0.8	2.3	0.8	0.9	1.0	2.4	0.8	2.3	0.8	2.3	
PLC Tutoring Engagement	2.7	3.9	1.3	1.4	2.1	2.8	2.7	4.1	2.7	6.5	

VI. Health

VI.A Linkage to a health-focused services

1. Youth linked to a health-focused service, by type

Count of unique youth linked to a health-focused service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Physical Activity	25	6%	45	11%	52	27%	25	6%	93	12%	121	12%	2%	12%	
Mental/Behavioral Health	46	12%	27	7%	23	12%	46	12%	55	7%	91	9%	0%	12%	
Substance Abuse Intervention	30	8%	17	4%	11	6%	30	8%	50	7%	79	8%	1%	8%	
Community-Based Intervention	9	2%	6	1%	2	1%	9	2%	7	1%	13	1%	0%	2%	
DCYL Health Linkages	101	26%	91	22%	85	45%	101	26%	190	25%	268	27%	6%	26%	
ERCPCP															
Physical Activity	8	3%	32	12%	52	27%	8	3%	79	16%	99	15%	3%	18%	
Mental/Behavioral Health	20	8%	18	7%	2	1%	20	8%	41	8%	56	9%	0%	11%	
Substance Abuse Intervention	11	4%	5	2%	10	5%	11	4%	19	4%	37	6%	1%	4%	
Community-Based Intervention	8	3%	6	2%	1	1%	8	3%	6	1%	12	2%	0%	3%	
ERCPCP Health Linkages	46	18%	61	23%	68	36%	46	18%	136	27%	184	28%	4%	31%	
Progressive Life															
Physical Activity	19	13%	13	9%	0	0%	19	13%	14	5%	23	6%	0%	13%	
Mental/Behavioral Health	26	18%	10	7%	3	2%	26	18%	15	5%	37	10%	1%	18%	
Substance Abuse Intervention	19	13%	12	8%	13	7%	19	13%	32	11%	42	11%	3%	13%	
Community-Based Intervention	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	2	1%	2	1%	0%	1%	
PLC Health Linkages	56	38%	32	21%	17	9%	56	38%	57	20%	88	23%	4%	38%	

2. Youth linked to a health-focused service, by provider

Count of unique youth linked to a health-focused service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present					
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%						
ERCPCP																
<i>Physical Activity</i>																
P	0	0%	26	10%	39	20%	0	0%	63	13%	79	12%	0%	16%		
O	8	3%	7	3%	0	0%	8	3%	8	2%	14	2%	0%	3%		
ERCPCP Physical Activity Link	8	3%	32	12%	52	27%	8	3%	79	16%	99	15%	3%	18%		
<i>Mental/Behavioral Health</i>																
Q	11	4%	5	2%	1	1%	11	4%	17	3%	26	4%	0%	4%		
BBB	5	2%	9	3%	5	3%	5	2%	14	3%	16	2%	0%	4%		
III	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
QQQ	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
CCC	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	3	1%	4	1%	0%	1%		
DDD	0	0%	1	0%	1	1%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	0%	1%		
EEE	1	0%	1	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
GGG	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
CC	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	2	0%	0%	0%		
ERCPCP Mental Health Linkag	20	8%	18	7%	8	4%	20	8%	41	8%	56	9%	0%	11%		
<i>Substance Abuse Intervention</i>																
Q	8	3%	4	2%	0	0%	8	3%	8	2%	15	2%	0%	3%		
III	1	0%	1	0%	8	4%	1	0%	10	2%	21	3%	0%	4%		
A	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	2	0%	3	0%	0%	1%		
ERCPCP Substance Abuse Link	11	4%	5	2%	10	5%	11	4%	19	4%	37	6%	1%	4%		
<i>Community-Based Intervention</i>																
BBB	5	2%	2	1%	0	0%	5	2%	2	0%	6	1%	0%	2%		
CCC	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
DDD	1	0%	1	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%		
JJJ	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
RRR	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
III	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
Other	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	0%	0%		
ERCPCP CBI Linkages	8	3%	6	2%	1	1%	8	3%	6	1%	12	2%	0%	3%		

Health

2. Youth linked to health focused services - by Service Coalition member (cont'd)

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Progressive Life															
<i>Physical Activity</i>															
+ L	11	7%	13	9%	0	0%	11	7%	14	5%	15	4%	0%	9%	
+ NNN	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%	0%	1%	
+ O	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	4	1%	0%	3%	
+ M	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%	0%	1%	
Total Linkages	19	13%	13	9%	0	0%	19	13%	14	5%	23	6%	0%	13%	
<i>Mental/Behavioral Health</i>															
+ Q	23	16%	8	5%	0	0%	23	16%	8	3%	24	6%	0%	16%	
BBB	1	1%	2	1%	1	1%	1	1%	4	1%	4	1%	0%	1%	
CCC	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	2	1%	0%	1%	
EEE	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Total Mental Health Linkages	26	18%	10	7%	3	2%	26	18%	15	5%	37	10%	1%	18%	
<i>Substance Abuse Intervention</i>															
+ A	17	11%	11	7%	8	4%	17	11%	26	9%	30	8%	0%	11%	
SSS	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Other	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Total Substance Abuse Linkage	19	13%	12	8%	13	7%	19	13%	32	11%	42	11%	3%	13%	
<i>Community-Based Intervention</i>															
JJJ	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Total CBI Linkages	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	2	1%	2	1%	0%	1%	

3. Youth linked to a health-focused, by age

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	55	40%	50	32%	47	19%	55	40%	94	29%	0%	40%	
Over 18	49	19%	41	16%	38	14%	49	19%	105	25%	0%	26%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	25	29%	33	33%	36	22%	25	29%	64	29%	0%	35%	
Over 18	23	14%	28	17%	32	18%	23	14%	79	28%	0%	34%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	30	57%	17	31%	11	13%	30	57%	31	25%	0%	57%	
Over 18	27	28%	15	16%	6	6%	27	28%	28	17%	0%	28%	

VI.B Engagement with health-focused activities

1. Average Hours of Contact per Week

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data		
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity		Hours per Week		Min	Max	Sparkline
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	FY12	FY11			
DC Youth Link											
Physical Activity	5.9	5.2	1.8	1.7	3.3	3.0	5.9	5	5.2	5.9	
Mental/Behavioral Health	1.6	0.7	1.1	0.6	1.4	1.2	1.6	1	0.7	1.6	
Substance Abuse	1.4	3.6	1.5	1.5	1.0	2.4	1.4	3	1.4	3.6	
DCYL Health Engagement	3.1	4.2	1.5	1.5	2.1	2.8	3.1	4	3.1	4.5	
ERCPCP											
Physical Activity	2.0	4.3	0.8	1.5	2.4	3.0	2.0	4	2.0	5.7	
Mental/Behavioral Health	1.6	0.6	1.1	0.4	1.5	1.3	1.6	1	0.6	1.6	
Substance Abuse	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.9	1	0.7	0.9	
ERCPCP Health Engagement	1.4	3.5	0.9	1.2	1.6	2.8	1.4	4	1.4	4.6	
Progressive Life											
Physical Activity	7.7	7.4	2.3	2.5	3.4	3.0	7.7	7	2.6	7.7	
Mental/Behavioral Health	1.5	0.9	1.1	0.7	1.4	1.2	1.5	1	0.9	1.5	
Substance Abuse	1.9	6.0	1.9	2.4	1.0	2.5	1.9	6	1.9	6.1	
PLC Health Engagement	3.8	5.4	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.7	3.8	5	3.7	5.4	

2. Average Hours of Contact per Week, by provider

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data		
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity		Hours per Week		Hours per Week		
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	FY12	FY11	Min	Max	Sparkline
ERCPCP											
<i>Physical Activity</i>											
P	-	4.7	-	1.6	-	3.0	-	6.5	4.7	6.1	
+ O	2.2	3.6	0.9	1.5	2.5	2.5	2.2	6.5	2.2	4.1	
ERCPCP Physical Activity Engage	2.2	4.3	0.9	1.4	2.5	3.0	2.2	6.5	2.2	5.7	
<i>Mental/Behavioral Health</i>											
+ Q	1.6	0.6	1.1	0.4	1.5	1.3	1.6	6.5	0.6	1.6	
ERCPCP Mental Health Engage	1.6	0.6	1.1	0.4	1.5	1.3	1.6	6.5	0.6	1.6	
<i>Substance Abuse</i>											
+ A	1.3	-	1.3	-	1.0	-	1.3	6.5	1.3	1.3	
+ Q	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	1.0	0.6	6.5	0.6	0.8	
ERCPCP Substance Abuse Engage	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.9	6.5	0.7	0.9	
Progressive Life											
<i>Physical Activity</i>											
+ L	10.6	7.4	3.1	2.5	3.4	3.0	10.6	6.5	2.6	10.6	
+ NNN	7.9	-	2.3	-	3.4	-	7.9	-	7.9	7.9	
+ O	2.2	-	0.7	-	3.0	-	2.2	-	2.2	2.2	
+ M	1.5	-	0.3	-	5.0	-	1.5	-	1.5	1.5	
PLC Physical Activity Engagem	7.7	7.4	2.3	2.5	3.4	3.0	7.7	6.5	2.6	7.7	
<i>Mental/Behavioral Health</i>											
+ Q	1.5	0.9	1.1	0.7	1.4	1.2	1.5	0.9	0.9	1.5	
PLC Mental Health Engagemen	2.1	0.9	1.1	0.7	1.9	1.2	2.1	0.9	0.9	2.1	
<i>Substance Abuse</i>											
+ A	1.9	6.0	1.9	2.4	1.0	2.5	1.9	5.7	1.9	6.1	
PLC Substance Abuse Engagem	1.9	6.0	1.9	2.4	1.0	2.5	1.9	5.7	1.9	6.1	

VII. Community

VII.A Linkage to a community participation service

1. Youth linked to community participation services - by type

Count of unique youth linked to a community participation service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2011		FY 2010		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link	1	0%	3	1%	1	0%	1	0%	3	0%	4	0.4%	0%	0.7%	
ERCPCP	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	3	0.5%	0%	0.8%	
Progressive Life Center	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0.3%	0%	0.7%	

2. Youth linked to community participation services - by Service Coalition member

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2011		FY 2010		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
ERCPCP															
<i>Community Participation</i>															
MMM	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
Progressive Life															
<i>Community Participation</i>															
AAA	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	

Community

3. Youth linked to community participation services - by age

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY11		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0%	1%	
Over 18	1	0%	3	1%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Over 18	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0%	1%	
Over 18	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	0%	1%	

VI.B Engagement with community participation activities

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data		
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity		Hours per Week		Min	Max	Sparkline
	Q1	Q3	Q4	Q3	Q4	Q3	FY12	FY11			
DC Youth Link	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	0.0	0.0	
ERCPCP	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	0.0	0.0	
Progressive Life	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	0.0	0.0	

VIII. Creativity

VIII.A Linkage to a creative expression service

1. Youth linked to a creative expression service

Count of unique youth linked to a community participation service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link	4	1%	3	1%	8	2%	4	1%	12	2%	18	1.8%	0%	2%	
ERCPCP	3	1%	3	1%	8	2%	3	1%	12	2%	17	2.6%	0%	2.5%	
Progressive Life Center	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0.3%	0%	0.7%	

2. Youth linked to a creative expression service, by provider

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
ERCPCP															
<i>Creative Expression</i>															
GG	3	1%	3	2%	8	4%	3	1%	11	2%	17	3%	0	8	
Progressive Life															
<i>Creative Expression</i>															
+ E	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	1	

3. Youth linked to creative expression services - by age

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q1		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	2	1%	1	1%	5	2%	2	1%	7	2%	0%	2%	
Over 18	2	1%	2	1%	3	1%	2	1%	6	2%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	1	1%	1	1%	5	3%	1	1%	7	2%	0%	3%	
Over 18	2	1%	2	1%	3	2%	2	1%	6	2%	0%	2%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0%	2%	
Over 18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	

1. Average Contact per Week per Youth

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data		
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity		Hours per Week		Min	Max	Sparkline
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	FY12	FY11			
DC Youth Link	8.6	7.6	2.9	2.4	3.0	3.2	8.6	6.7	6.3	8.6	
ERCPCP	8.6	7.6	2.9	2.4	3.0	3.2	8.6	6.7	6.3	8.6	
Progressive Life	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

2. Average Contact per Week per Youth - by Service Coalition Member

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data			
	Hours per Week		Activities per Week		Hours per Activity		Hours per Week		Min	Max	Sparkline	
	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	Q1	Q4	FY12	FY11				
ERCPCP												
GG	8.6	7.6	2.9	2.4	3.0	3.2	8.6	6.7	6.3	8.6		
ERCPCP Creativity Engagemer	8.6	7.6	2.9	2.4	3.0	3.2	8.6	6.7	6.3	8.6		