



DC YOUTHLINK QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REPORT: SECOND QUARTER, FY 2012 JANUARY – MARCH 2012

Release Date: November 2012

Since its inception in 2009, DC YouthLink has become an integral part of DYRS' strengths-based approach to providing services and supports to youth in the least restrictive environment possible, consistent with public safety. Indeed, it has grown from serving 75 youth, or approximately 7 percent of the total DYRS committed population, to serving nearly 460 youth or nearly half of the DYRS committed population. This report of DC YouthLink enrollments, services, and outcomes from the 2nd Quarter of Fiscal Year 2012, includes the following highlights.

Report Highlights



- **New personal stories give context to the data.** 
Speaking of Success, excerpted here, elevates the voices and experiences of youth, their families, and the people who work with them to show how DC YouthLink has supported positive development in youth's lives.
- **New data shows that about a quarter of DC YouthLink youth are receiving mental health services.** 
Through improved data-sharing, DYRS is now able to include the number of DC YouthLink youth who receive services through the Department of Mental Health (DMH). The inclusion of DMH data shows that 24 percent of DC YouthLink youth received mental health services in FY2012, Q2.
- **DC YouthLink youth are preparing for work at the highest levels since the launch of DC YouthLink.**
In FY2012, Q2, 45 percent of DC YouthLink youth were linked to a work readiness program, which is a 14 percentage point increase from the previous Quarter. This increase can be attributed to a doubling in enrollments in a work readiness program funded by the U.S. Department of Labor. In addition, of the nine youth that earned unsubsidized employment in FY2012, Q2, 78 percent held the position for at least 45 days.
- **DC YouthLink youth are successfully remaining in the community without committing a new offense.**
Between April 2011 and March 2012, 83 percent of DC YouthLink enrollees remained in community-based placements without being found guilty of a new offense. There were also 6 percent fewer re-arrests in FY2012, Q2 than in Q1.
- **DC YouthLink youth are staying connected to services.**
Youth continue to remain in their placements and connected to services. Between January and March 2012, 94 percent of youth remained in their placements and were not on abscondence status during the Quarter. The percent of youth who absconded from their placement decreased to 6 percent from last Quarter's two-year low of 7 percent.



Table of Contents

Speaking of Success: DC YouthLink youth success stories	2
DC YouthLink FY2012 Q2 Initiative Scorecard.....	3
Salient Trends in the FY2012 2nd Quarter Data	4
Education and Workforce Development Outcomes.....	4
Public Safety Outcomes	4
Overview of Youth Engagement.....	5
About DC YouthLink	8
The Oversight Process	9
The Role of Standardized and Data-Driven Oversight	9
Overview of the Report’s Framework and Methodology	9

Speaking of Success

DC YouthLink youth share their stories of success

FY 2012, Quarter 2

Working on Work



I have been committed to DYRS for the past 3 years and the multiple programs they offer have helped me progress tremendously. Since being involved with them, they have helped me get a job, put me in an internship and helped me get certifications. I have done a lot of programs before this, but the thing I am most proud of today is that I finished something I started.

“Now, I am a certified professional food handler and certified construction worksite flagger.”


None of that would have been possible if it wasn't for the internship I've had under the work force development program sponsored by DYRS.

Building Relationships

My mentor is a committed person; he is always there when I need him all the time. When I didn't have food to eat, or maybe didn't have a way to pick up my daughter he was there.

“My mentor [is] always giving me positive attitude when it comes to encouraging, he motivates me to be a successful person in my life, when I wanted to give up on school and life but with the help of my mentor and the encouraging words, now today I can say if it wasn't for my mentor I [would] not be graduating in the 2012 school year.”

He is always leading me in a positive direction such as a father would lead a son. He is always putting his personal life and business aside just to attend to my personal life. I really didn't have any male figure growing up just me and my mom. I had to learn from the streets, but everything changed when my mentor showed me the correct direction to be a smarter, stronger and successful man that I am today.



For more in the *Speaking of Success* series go to: www.dcyouthlink.org or www.dyrs.dc.gov



DC YouthLink Scorecard

Fiscal Year 2012, Second Quarter

Service Delivery	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Trend
Youth Served	460	297	166	447	3% ▲
Service Linkages per Youth during Quarter	4.1	4.2	4.1	3.0	36% ▲
Service Linkages per Discharged Youth	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.2	-6% ▲
Public Safety					
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ
Youth Killed	0	0	0	1.5	-100% ▲
Youth Charged with Murder	0	0	0	1.25	-100% ▲
Youth Re-Convicted (%)	4%	5%	4%	8%	-49% ▲
Youth Re-Arrested (%)	10%	10%	10%	17%	-41% ▲
Youth Revoked	3%	2%	3%	2%	17% ▼
Youth who Absconded (%)	6%	5%	7%	11%	-48% ▲
Youth on Abscondence - single day snapshot (%)	2%	2%	1%	4%	-65% ▲
Relationships					
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ
Enrollments (#)	342	233	112	301	14% ▲
Enrollments (%)	74%	78%	67%	67%	10% ▲
Work					
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ
Enrollments (#)	206	140	68	111	86% ▲
Enrollments (%)	45%	47%	41%	25%	81% ▲
Youth Earning Employment	9	5	4	10.3	-12% ▼
Education					
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ
Enrollments (#)	123	72	52	130	-5% ▼
Enrollments (%)	27%	24%	31%	29%	-8% ▼
Youth Achieving High School Credential	2	0	2	5	-60% ▼
Health					
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ
Enrollments (#)	162	95	69	106	53% ▲
Enrollments (%)	35%	32%	42%	24%	48% ▲
Community					
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ
Enrollments (#)	1	0	1	2	-33% ▼
Enrollments (%)	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	-35% ▼
Creativity					
	Total	ERCPCP	PLC	PFQA	Δ
Enrollments (#)	3	1	2	5	-40% ▼
Enrollments (%)	0.7%	0.3%	1%	1.1%	-42% ▼

SALIENT TRENDS IN THE FY2012 2ND QUARTER DATA

This report is the fourth in a series of quarterly reviews of DC YouthLink inputs, processes, outputs and outcomes. While a great deal of data is available for review in the Quarterly report’s Data Tables section, there are some trends that bear particular mention.


Education and Workforce Development Outcomes

In the 2nd Quarter of FY2012, DYRS continued its work with DC YouthLink providers to collect education and workforce development outcomes. DYRS’ Office of Research and Evaluation reviewed records and documents to verify youth accomplishments, thus ensuring the highest level of scrutiny in reporting these important milestones. These high standards likely resulted in an undercount of the number of youth achieving high school certifications,¹ continuing on to post-secondary education, or attaining full time, unsubsidized employment in the past two years.

In the 2nd Quarter of FY12, 19 youth earned a professional certification, including Microsoft Office and home health aide certifications. Forty-two youth participated in an internship and nine youth earned unsubsidized employment. Of the nine youth that earned unsubsidized employment, 78 percent held the position for at least 45 days.

Between January and March 2012, a time in which youth do not traditionally graduate high school or enter post-secondary education, two youth enrolled in DC YouthLink earned a high


¹ DYRS is working closely with the Office of the State Superintendent to support DYRS in the effort to verify every high school credential earned by DYRS youth.



school credential and five enrolled in post-secondary education, which includes both four-year and two-year institutions.

Public Safety Outcomes


DC YouthLink youth are successfully staying in community-based placements without being re-arrested or being found guilty of a new offense. In the last year – April 2011 to March 2012 – 83 percent of youth remained in community-based placements without being found to be involved in or guilty of a new offense, while 69 percent of youth avoided re-arrest altogether. Of the youth who were reconvicted of a new offense between April 2011 and March 2012, 54 percent were found to be involved in non-violent offenses.



The second Quarter of FY2012, continues these positive public safety trends. Ninety-six percent of DC YouthLink youth remained in the community without being found guilty of a new offense, which is a slight increase from 95 percent in the Quarter before. There were 6 percent fewer re-arrests in FY2012, Q2 than in Q1 with 90 percent of DC YouthLink youth remaining in community-based placements without being re-arrested during Quarter 2. In addition, FY12, Q2 is the second, consecutive quarter in which zero youth were victims of or charged with homicide.

Youth are also successfully staying in their placements. They abscond less often, and they are returned to supervision more quickly when they do. On the final day of the Quarter, 98 percent of youth were accounted for, close to the highest levels of non-abscondence since the launch of DC YouthLink. Between January and March 2012, 94 percent of youth remained with their placements and did not abscond. In other words, the percent of youth who absconded from their placement decreased to 6 percent from last Quarter's two-year low of 7 percent.

The continued increases in the successful retention of youth at their placements can be attributed to a number of factors. Among them




are (1) the effectiveness of DC YouthLink services as both youth engagement and supervision mechanisms, (2) the work of the DYRS abscondence response team, and (3) the continued effective collaboration with the Metropolitan Police Department.

Overview of Youth Engagement

The number of youth served by DC YouthLink in the 2nd Quarter of FY2012 increased 17 percent from the previous quarter from 394 to 460. East of the River Clergy-Police Community Partnership (ERCPCP) experienced an 18 percent increase in the number of youth they served from Quarter 1 to Quarter 2 and Progressive Life Center saw a 12 percent increase over the same time period. There are now six times as many youth served by DC YouthLink as there were at the launch of DC YouthLink in FY2010.


The proportion of the total number of youth committed to DYRS who are also linked to DC YouthLink has also increased steadily over the last two years. In FY2012, Q2, nearly half of DYRS committed youth are also linked to DC YouthLink.



Youth linked to DC YouthLink are predominantly male (87 percent), black (97 percent), and over the age of 18 (59 percent).

While the number and percent of DYRS youth connected to DC YouthLink has increased, so has the average number of services per youth. The average number of services that youth receives as grown from one service per youth in FY 2010, Quarter 1 to four services per youth in FY2012, Q2.


Likewise, the percent of DC YouthLink youth connected to services was nearly 100 percent.



Compared to the previous quarter, there have been increases in the percent of DC YouthLink youth linked to four of the seven Positive Youth Justice Domains (Relationships, Public Safety, Work, Health, Education, Creativity, and Community). The greatest increases in linkages came in the Health and Work domains, while the Relationship domain has slightly fewer linkages. The details concerning service linkages show that youth are also receiving more diverse services from different sources.

Relationships: The slight decrease in the number of youth who are receiving relationship-related services can be attributed to a 4 percentage point decrease in the number of youth connected to a mentor. At the same time, however, the number of youth who are receiving youth parenting services has more than doubled compared to the previous quarter.

Work: The percentage of youth receiving workforce developments services increased by 42 percent since the previous quarter. This increase can be attributed to an increase in




services delivered through a U.S. Department of Labor Workforce Development grant. The number of youth enrolled in that survey doubled since the previous Quarter.

Health: The percentage of youth receiving health-related services increased 64 percent from the previous Quarter. For the first time, DYRS included services that DC YouthLink youth receive directly from the District’s Department of Mental Health, which contributes to this substantial increase in services received in the area of mental health services. While DC YouthLink provides a holistic approach to supporting the mental health of youth in the community, continued collaboration with DMH will ensure that all youth who need focused mental health services receive them.

The proportion of DC YouthLink youth receiving substance abuse intervention services also increased by 2 percentage points.

Community: In FY12, Quarter 2, 12 DC YouthLink youth participated in Earn, Learn, Grow, a DC YouthLink initiative that combines workforce development with community engagement. In a partnership with the Office on Aging, youth enrolled in Earn, Learn, Grow cut lawns for the District’s oldest residents.





ABOUT DC YOUTHLINK

DC YouthLink is a non-profit coalition of organizations that has partnered with DYRS to successfully reintegrate court-involved youth into their communities by providing a host of services and supports to the youth and their families. DC YouthLink is working with local and national partners to improve the community reentry process so that the needs of DYRS youth, the family and the communities to which they return are being met.

Offering a continuum of community services and support to incarcerated youth in reentry is an integral part of the juvenile justice system. There is a vast array of services in youth's home communities to support them. Historically, however, there was no convenient way to find out about the full range of these services and providers.

To bridge this gap, DYRS partnered with two community based organizations, the East of the River Clergy-Police Community Partnership and the Progressive Life Center, to provide community-based services for DYRS youth. These organizations, referred to as the 'Lead Entities,' provide coordination and oversight of community-based services through a network of local providers, known as their Regional Service Coalitions. The Lead Entities function as care coordinating entities, funders and monitors of the providers in their Regional Service Coalitions, and as engagement vehicles for increasing and diversifying community-based services for DYRS youth.

The referral process for linking youth to services is as follows. When a youth in DYRS' custody is preparing for community-based placement in the District of Columbia, a Youth Family Team Meeting (YFTM) is held. In that meeting, the youth, members of his or her family, the DYRS Case Manager, other concerned adults, and a representative from one of the Lead Entities discuss the youth's individual strengths and needs. Based on this discussion, the group agrees upon a particular combination of services that will offer the youth the best opportunity for success in the community. Once a core set of desired service linkages is articulated, the Lead Entity representative provides information on the various service providers available. The group then agrees on specific referrals for services, which the Lead Entity is then expected to fill soon after the meeting's close. There are no established quotas for referrals to specific service types, meaning that service referral rates are generally demand driven, based on the individualized youth needs as articulated in YFTM discussions.

Prior to the establishment of the Lead Entity initiative, DYRS contracted directly with community-based organizations. DYRS had one predominant service that it provided to youth in the community: Intensive Third Party Monitoring (ITPM). This service was provided by a handful of vendors, each with individual contacts directly with DYRS. Today, rather than just rely on ITPM, DYRS Case Managers, youth, and their families select from the Lead Entities' range of services that are customized to the youth's skills, interests, and needs.

THE OVERSIGHT PROCESS

In an effort to improve performance and promote accountability, DYRS monitors the services provided to the agency's young people through the DC YouthLink initiative. The results of DYRS' research regarding community-based service provision are reported quarterly in this report. The report focuses heavily on the types of services DYRS youth receive and the frequency with which they receive them. These are the basic building-blocks of rehabilitation and successful reintegration into the District's communities. As possible, the agency also reports on the outcomes of these services, both positive and negative. This includes outcomes such as youth graduating from high school, getting a job, or having new contacts with the justice system.

The Role of Standardized and Data-Driven Oversight

DC YouthLink plays an important role within the DYRS continuum of services by providing support services to youth that meet their unique needs as they transition back into their communities and into adulthood. The Quarterly Performance Report allows for a regularized snapshot of the breadth and depth of services that DYRS youth receive through DC YouthLink and other agency initiatives.

The report, though reporting on outcomes, should not be considered final evaluations of the initiative. Instead, the quarterly reviews are waypoints that mark progress toward the goal of comprehensive service provision and effective rehabilitation. The reports provide opportunities for course correction at regular intervals.

Overview of the Report's Framework and Methodology

In designing its oversight plan for the Lead Entity initiative, DYRS drew heavily from the evidence-based Positive Youth Justice

framework developed by researchers led by Jeffery Butts, Executive Director of the Criminal Justice Research and Evaluation Center at John Jay College of Criminal Justice in New York City. The framework builds on the substantial body of evidence supporting Positive Youth Development (PYD) as a general strategy for helping young people transition to a positive adulthood. Most PYD research, though, focuses on youth outside of the juvenile justice system. Dr. Butts and his colleagues, in their research surrounding Positive Youth Justice, tailor what we know about PYD to the specific needs of court-involved youth. Their research, presented in the paper, "Positive Youth Justice: Framing Justice Interventions Using the Concepts of Positive Youth Development,"² recommends six domains for engaging court-involved youth:

- Relationships
- Education
- Work
- Health
- Creativity
- Community

To this list, DYRS has added a seventh domain, "Public Safety." Together, these seven areas inform the agency's oversight of the Leads, and it is across these domains that DYRS plans to evaluate the efficacy of the Lead Entity initiative.

² Butts, Jeffrey A., Gordon Bazemore, & Aundra Saa Meroe (2010). Positive Youth Justice--Framing Justice Interventions Using the Concepts of Positive Youth Development. Washington, DC: Coalition for Juvenile Justice. © 2010

Definitions

An **enrollment** refers to a youth's assignment to one of DC YouthLink's two Lead Entities. A Lead Entities' number of enrollments is separate from its number of **unique youth**, as it is possible for a single youth to have multiple enrollments with one or both Lead Entities.³

Community placements include including home placement, independent living, group homes, therapeutic group homes, foster care homes and shelters in the DC Metropolitan area.

A **service linkage** refers to a youth's enrollment with a specific community provider. A linkage differs from a referral in that a linkage is made only after the youth has had at least one successful face-to-face contact with an organization. An **activity** refers to a face-to-face contact with a youth by a service provider.

The **Service Coalition** are community-based organizations that have a contractual relationship with the one or both of the Lead Entities. Members of the Service Coalition are denoted with a plus sign (+) in the margin next to their name. DYRS youth also have access to services provided by other and organizations, such as Core Service Agencies that contract with the Department of Mental Health. These service linkages are reported in the Quarterly Report as well, but have no plus sign.

³ Youth are generally referred to a Lead Agency prior to their arrival in a community-based placement so that the Lead can identify service providers in anticipation of the youth's arrival. However, for the purposes of this report, which looks primarily at the number and rate of service activities a youth has while in the community, an enrollment is considered to begin only when the youth is living in a community placement. An enrollment is considered terminated if one of the following occurs: (1) the youth has his assignment to the Lead Agency formally ended; (2) the youth is removed from the community placement for 30 days or more; or (3) the youth is on abscondence status for 30 days or more.

A **re-arrest** includes any new adult or juvenile arrest that occurred at some point during the quarter while the youth was enrolled with DC YouthLink, or following an abscondence that resulted in the termination of a DC YouthLink enrollment. A **re-petition** occurs when a prosecuting body, such as the Office of the Attorney General or the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia, formally charges the youth with an offense. The **top charge** for an arrest is the most serious crime cited in the police report.

A youth is **revoked** from a community placement when he or she is removed from a community-based placement and placed in a residential treatment center or other secure facility for at least 30 days.

An **abscondence** occurs when a youth is not where he or she is supposed to be according to the provisions in his or her Community Release Agreement. Abscondences can include missed curfews and the failure to attend school or a required appointment.

Data collection methods

The data used to populate the report are drawn from the agency's youth database. When youth are linked to a community-based service provider, it is noted in his or her file. Subsequently, following each activity that the provider completes with the youth, the Service Coalition members are required to record that they were with the youth, document specific start and end times for the activity, and provide a description of how that time was spent.⁴ Both

⁴ The process of training Service Coalition members to accurately record activities in the DYRS database, and the process of refining appropriate processes and coding, meant that tracking of individual activities within the DYRS database was not fully launched until this past Quarter. In May 2011 DYRS launched a pilot for tracking activities in the database. Then, after the pilot ended successfully, on

the Lead Entities and DYRS regularly check these records to ensure that the information they hold is reliable. Service Coalition Members are also instructed to bookmark youth milestones, such as attaining a high-school credential or starting a job, in the youth's file.

At the close of each quarter, DYRS extracts all the information from each youth's record in order to perform an aggregate analysis of the services received by committed youth and the milestones each youth has achieved.

The data is first 'scrubbed' to identify and correct data entry errors and, as necessary, account for time lags between enrollment with a Lead Entity and arrival in a community-based placement. For analysis of DC YouthLink programming specific to a particular quarter or fiscal year, the data is further honed to include only those youth who were enrolled with a Lead Entity and present in the community for at least seven days during the reporting period. This narrowing of the data set is conducted because the rate of service provision is often only one youth contact per week. For youth who were linked with a service provider for less than one week during a particular reporting period, there may not have been sufficient opportunity to engage with the young person.

Once the complete set of data is established, an analyst in DYRS' Office of Research and Quality Assurance conducts aggregate level analysis of each youth's service linkages and rate of activities. The results of this analysis constitute the bulk of the Quarterly Performance Report's data.

Data Collection and Analysis Challenges

June 1, 2011 all Service Coalition members were expected to begin tracking their youth contacts in the DYRS system. For this reason, FY2011 activity reporting did not begin until June 1, 2011.

Data collection for an initiative as large as DC YouthLink presents many challenges. Service Coalition members are diverse in the types of interventions they provided for DYRS youth, as well as in their technical capacity for documenting the work they do. In order to maximize data reliability, each member of DC YouthLink has been trained in appropriate data entry through the DYRS data system. DYRS also conducts random audits of youths' electronic files to further verify that Service Coalition members are accurately and appropriately documenting their activities. Even with these safeguards, however, there is room for data entry error.

In working with the provider community to document their activities in a uniform manner, DYRS prioritized the documentation of youth contacts. Individual contacts were prioritized because this information allows the agency to (1) quickly identify youth who have not been in regular contact with a DC YouthLink provider, (2) hold Service Coalition members accountable for the level of engagement they achieve with youth they are assigned, and (3) verify the hours of engagement reported by Service Coalition members through the budgeting and payment process. With all members appropriately documenting their contacts with DYRS youth, the agency has moved on to prioritize the documentation of youth's "milestones," such as earning a high school diploma or starting a new job. The milestones were introduced during the fourth quarter of FY2011. Reporting of these sorts of achievements prior to FY2012 was not uniform or centralized, and therefore was likely to be under-counted. The agency believes, however, that the appropriate reporting structures are in place for FY2012 to accurately capture these important events.

Another challenge in data collection is the frequency with which some youth may enter or exit their community placement. Some young

people who are living in a community-based placement may be temporarily removed from the community (less than seven days) as a result of court proceedings, or out of concern for the safety of the young person or the community. Analysts in DYRS' Office of Research and Quality Assurance have reviewed many of the files of DC YouthLink youth in order to identify or verify these types of interruptions in a youth's community stay. Nevertheless, it is possible that these sorts of short out-of-placement stays, when not fully captured, could affect the aggregates data at the margins.

Finally, there are times when young people's support services are not fully documented in their files. This is a result of data entry error, and occurs most frequently when young people

receive services through Core Service Agencies, as a result of historical data entry practices.

DYRS believes the information presented in this report is accurate, but recognizes the possibility that human error could inadvertently generate some inaccuracies in reported data. Indeed, one such error has been identified in the FY2011 Q3 Quarterly Performance Report. A bug in the computer-generated data reports excluded youth who had received services from DC YouthLink in the 3rd Quarter, but whose commitment ended prior to the date of the initial data pull in early July, 2011. This primarily resulted in an under-reporting of the youth served by DC YouthLink during that quarter. The amended numbers are reflected in the FY2011 Q4 Quarterly Performance Report, and the computer glitch has been fixed.

INTERPRETING THE DATA TABLES IN THE REPORT

The tables included in the Quarterly Performance Report are data-rich to allow the reader to identify relevant trends and points of comparison. For each measure, the tables provide three types of information:

- Quarter Comparison
- Fiscal Year Comparison
- Overall Summary Data

The quarterly comparison provides the data from the most recent reporting period as it compares to the previous quarter as well as the same quarter the previous year.

	Quarter Comparison					
	Q4		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
DC Youth Link						
Male	355	87%	453	87%	463	90%
Female	52	13%	70	13%	49	10%

Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers beneath the heading “#” represent the count of unique youth. The “%” represents the percent of unique youth enrolled in DC YouthLink during the reporting period. For example, the 355 males indicated in the table above represent 87% of the 407 total unique youth served by DC YouthLink the fourth quarter.



	Fiscal Year Comparison			
	FY 2011		FY 2010	
	#	%	#	%
DC Youth Link				
Male	668	88%	538	91%
Female	88	12%	56	9%

Fiscal Year comparisons for each measure compare the current fiscal year’s to-date data as

compared to the year-to-date data from the previous year.

Finally, the Summary section provides aggregated data over the entire length of data collection. Using the example above, during the fourth quarter of 2011, 355 males were enrolled with DC YouthLink, representing 87% of the population. During the entirety of FY2011, 603 unique males have been enrolled. Since the beginning of FY2010, 817 males have received DC YouthLink services, representing 89% of the initiative’s served population.

In the eight fiscal quarters that DYRS has been

	Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link					
Male	817	89%	87%	97%	
Female	103	11%	3%	13%	

collecting data on DC YouthLink enrollments, the males have represented, at maximum, 97% of the youth served, and at their lowest, 87%. This is indicated in the “Min” and “Max” column.

The Sparkline column provides a micro-graph that gives the reader a visual indication of the overall trend for a particular measure in quarterly intervals. For enrollment data, the sparklines begin in the first quarter of FY2010 and document each quarter’s value up to the present. The sparkline is annotated with a green dot, indicating the quarter with the maximum value, and a red dot indicating the quarter, or quarters, with the minimum value.

Because they are meant to show directional trends, the sparklines have independent axes, meaning that they are each on their own scale. For example, the gender sparklines in the examples above, with the male sparkline sloping downward and the female sparkline sloping

upward, indicate that the general trend for FY2010-FY2011 was that females increasingly represented a larger proportion of the population over time. Despite the females' sparkline ending visually higher than the males' sparkline, females nevertheless continue to represent a significant minority of the DC YouthLink youth served.

INDEX OF TABLES

I. Youth Engagement

- A. Demographics of Youth Serviced by the Leads
 - 1. *Number of youth enrolled with DC YouthLink*
 - 2. *Sex*
 - 3. *Race*
 - 4. *Age*
- B. Services per Enrollment
 - 1. *Average services per enrollment – overall*
 - 2. *Average services per enrollment – Service Coalition*
 - 3. *Average services during the reporting period – overall*
 - 4. *Average services during the reporting period – Service Coalition*
- C. Service Connections by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain
 - 1. *Count of Youth Connected to Services by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain – overall*
 - 2. *Count of Youth Connected to Services by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain – Service Coalition*
- D. Average Weekly Contacts
 - 1. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth*
 - 2. *Average weekly hours of contact per youth by PYJ Domain*

II. Public Safety

- A. Youth Killed or Charged with Murder
 - 1. *Youth killed*
 - 2. *Youth charged with murder*
- B. Re-Conviction and Re-Arrests
 - 1. *Youth re-convicted*
 - 2. *Youth re-convicted, by top charge*
 - 3. *Youth re-arrested*
 - 4. *Youth re-arrested, by top charge*

C. Revocation

- 1. *Youth removed from community placements*

D. Abscondences

- 1. *Youth who absconded while enrolled in DC YouthLink*
- 2. *Abscondence one-day snapshot*

E. Linkage to Public Safety-Focused Services

- 1. *Linkage to a Public Safety-focused service*

III. Relationships

A. Linkage to a relationship-building service

- 1. *Youth linked to a relationship-building service, by type*
- 2. *Youth linked to a relationship-building service, by provider*

IV. Work

A. Workforce Outcomes

- 1. *Youth Earning Employment*
- 2. *Youth Maintaining Employment*
- 3. *Youth Earning Professional Certification*
- 4. *Youth Participating in Internship*

B. Linkage to a work readiness program

- 1. *Youth linked to a work readiness program, by type*
- 2. *Youth linked to a work readiness program, by provider*
- 3. *Youth linked to a work readiness program, by age*

V. Education

A. Educational Outcomes

- 1. *Youth Enrolled in Post-Secondary Education*
- 2. *Youth achieving a high school credential*

B. Linkage to an educational support service

1. *Youth linked to an educational support service, by type*
2. *Youth linked to an educational support service, by provider*
3. *Youth linked to an educational support service, by age*

VI. Health

A. Linkage to a health-focused service

1. *Youth linked to a health-focused service, by type*
2. *Youth linked to a health-focused service, by provider*
3. *Youth linked to a health-focused service, by age*

VII. Community

A. Linkage to a community participation service

1. *Youth linked to a community participation service, by type*
2. *Youth linked to a community participation service, by provider*
3. *Youth linked to a community participation service, by age*

VIII. Creativity

A. Linkage to a creative expression service

1. *Youth linked to a creative expression service, by type*
2. *Youth linked to a creative expression service, by provider*
3. *Youth linked to a creative expression service, by age*

Data Tables

I. Youth Engagement

I.A Demographics of Youth Served by DC YouthLink

1. Number of youth enrolled with DC Youth Link

Count of unique youth. Includes all Lead-connected youth in community-based placements in the DC Metropolitan area. For quarterly measures, excludes youth who were on absence status for the entire duration of their Lead enrollment during the reporting period, and youth enrolled with the Lead for less than 1 week during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Total	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	460	394	463	527	756	1025	405	75	525	
ERCPCP	297	249	314	358	496	686	264	47	348	
Progressive Life Center	166	148	157	219	291	403	146	28	191	

2. Sex

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Male	398	87%	343	87%	403	87%	463	88%	668	88%	911	89%	87%	97%	
Female	62	13%	51	13%	60	13%	64	12%	88	22%	114	11%	3%	13%	
ERCPCP															
Male	253	85%	214	86%	273	87%	312	87%	434	88%	602	88%	86%	98%	
Female	44	15%	35	14%	38	12%	46	13%	62	25%	84	12%	2%	14%	
Progressive Life															
Male	147	89%	132	89%	134	85%	198	90%	258	89%	361	90%	85%	96%	
Female	19	11%	16	11%	23	15%	21	10%	33	22%	42	10%	4%	15%	

3. Race

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Black	448	97%	372	94%	444	96%	509	97%	731	97%	1000	98%	94%	100%	
Latino	9	2%	12	3%	18	4%	15	3%	16	2%	21	2.0%	0%	4.0%	
White	3	1%	0	0%	1	0%	3	1%	1	0%	4	0.4%	0%	0.2%	
ERCPCP															
Black	295	99%	247	99%	305	97%	353	99%	489	99%	682	99%	97%	101%	
Latino	1	0%	2	1%	9	3%	3	1%	2	0%	3	0.4%	0%	2.9%	
White	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	2	0%	0%	0%	
Progressive Life															
Black	156	94%	138	93%	147	94%	205	94%	273	94%	381	95%	93%	100%	
Latino	8	5%	10	7%	9	6%	13	6%	14	5%	19	4.7%	0%	6.8%	
White	2	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	3	0.7%	0%	0.7%	

4. Age*

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q2		FY11 Q3		FY10 Q4		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	187	41%	139	35%	251	54%	200	38%	329	44%	34%	55%	
Over 18	273	59%	255	65%	279	60%	327	62%	427	56%	45%	66%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	112	38%	86	35%	166	53%	139	39%	218	44%	35%	55%	
Over 18	185	62%	163	65%	178	57%	219	61%	278	56%	45%	65%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	76	46%	53	36%	88	56%	86	39%	123	42%	31%	56%	
Over 18	90	54%	95	64%	104	66%	133	61%	168	58%	46%	69%	

*Age calculated on the final day of the reporting period for quarterly indicators, and at the mid-year point for annual measures

I.B Services per Enrollment

1. Average services per enrollment - overall

Count of unique services provided to each youth during the entirety of his/her Lead Entity enrollment. Calculated by taking the sum of unique services divided by the number of unique enrollments. The data is constrained to youth discharged during the reporting period. Includes services provided by Service Coalition members and other partners.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q2	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	3.1	3.0	2.4	3.1	2.8	3.6	1.0	4.0	
ERCPCP	3.1	2.9	2.4	3.1	2.9	3.7	1.0	4.3	
Progressive Life Center	3.1	3.3	2.2	3.1	2.7	3.5	1.0	3.7	

2. Average services per enrollment - Service Coalition

Includes only services provided by Service Coalition members.

	Current Reporting Period (FY11-Q2)			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q2	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.6	2.6	2.6	1.0	3.1	
ERCPCP	2.5	2.0	2.2	2.5	2.6	2.7	1.0	2.8	
Progressive Life Center	2.7	2.5	1.8	2.7	2.4	2.6	1.0	2.8	

3. Average services during the reporting period - overall

Count of unique services provided to each youth during a single reporting period. Includes services provided by both Service Coalition members and other partners.

	Current Reporting Period (FY11-Q2)			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q2	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	3.9	2.6	1.8	3.9	3.0	2.1	1.0	3.9	
ERCPCP	3.9	2.6	1.8	3.9	3.1	2.2	1.1	3.9	
Progressive Life Center	4.0	2.8	1.7	4.0	2.8	2.1	1.0	4.0	

4. Average services during the reporting period - Service Coalition

Count of unique services provided to each youth during a single reporting period. Includes only services provided by Service Coalition members.

	Current Reporting Period (FY11-Q2)			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q2	Prev. Qtr	This Qtr Last Yr	FY 2012 YTD	FY 2011	Avg	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	3.1	2.0	1.7	3.1	2.5	1.7	1.1	3.1	
ERCPCP	3.0	2.2	1.6	3.0	2.6	1.7	1.1	3.0	
Progressive Life Center	3.3	1.8	1.8	3.3	2.3	1.7	1.1	3.3	

I.C Service Connections by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain

I. Count of Youth Connected to Services by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain - Overall

Count of unique youth linked to services within each Positive Youth Justice Domain. Includes community-based services from both Service Coalition members and other partners.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Relationships	342	74%	311	79%	322	70%	342	65%	517	68%	596	58%	7%	79%	
Public Safety	242	53%	176	45%	298	64%	242	46%	541	72%	691	67%	44%	91%	
Work	206	45%	122	31%	77	17%	206	39%	200	26%	221	22%	0%	31%	
Education	123	27%	103	26%	160	35%	123	23%	265	35%	301	29%	0%	35%	
Health	162	35%	101	26%	116	25%	162	31%	190	25%	220	21%	0%	26%	
Creativity	3	1%	4	1%	8	2%	3	1%	12	2%	17	2%	0%	2%	
Community	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	3	0%	4	0%	0%	1%	
DCYL Youth Linkages	443	96%	380	96%	463	100%	443	84%	684	90%	920	90%	80%	100%	
ERCPCP															
Relationships	233	78%	204	82%	228	73%	233	65%	357	72%	403	59%	4%	82%	
Public Safety	155	52%	107	43%	198	63%	155	43%	351	71%	446	65%	33%	91%	
Work	140	47%	82	33%	41	13%	140	39%	115	23%	129	19%	0%	33%	
Education	72	24%	60	24%	107	34%	72	20%	173	35%	191	28%	0%	34%	
Health	95	32%	46	18%	97	31%	95	27%	136	27%	158	23%	0%	31%	
Creativity	1	0%	3	1%	8	3%	1	0%	12	2%	17	2%	0%	3%	
Community	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	3	0%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP Youth Linkages	287	97%	241	97%	314	100%	287	80%	458	92%	617	90%	45%	100%	
Progressive Life Center															
Relationships	112	67%	110	74%	97	62%	112	51%	173	59%	211	52%	11%	74%	
Public Safety	87	52%	72	49%	103	66%	87	40%	200	69%	268	67%	42%	89%	
Work	68	41%	43	29%	37	24%	68	31%	88	30%	95	24%	0%	30%	
Education	52	31%	44	30%	54	34%	52	24%	94	32%	114	28%	0%	34%	
Health	69	42%	56	38%	20	13%	69	32%	57	20%	65	16%	0%	38%	
Creativity	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	1%	
Community	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
PLC Youth Linkages	161	97%	144	97%	157	100%	161	74%	252	87%	356	88%	54%	100%	

2. Count of Youth Connected to Services by Positive Youth Justice Outcome Domain - Service Coalition

Count of unique youth linked to services within each Positive youth Justice Domain. Includes only community-based services from Service Coalition members.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2010						
DC Youth Link															
Relationships	341	74%	308	78%	329	71%	341	65%	506	67%	564	55%	7%	78%	
Public Safety	23	5%	15	4%	146	32%	23	4%	302	40%	495	48%	3%	91%	
Work	204	44%	125	32%	57	12%	204	39%	169	22%	178	17%	0%	32%	
Education	117	25%	98	25%	131	28%	117	22%	265	35%	258	25%	0%	29%	
Health	94	20%	83	21%	91	20%	94	18%	152	20%	169	16%	0%	21%	
Creativity	3	1%	1	0%	8	2%	3	1%	12	2%	17	2%	0%	2%	
Community	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	0%	0%	
DCYL Youth Linkages	420	91%	368	93%	428	92%	420	80%	650	86%	810	79%	76%	93%	
ERCPCP															
Relationships	232	78%	198	80%	235	75%	232	65%	348	70%	373	54%	4%	80%	
Public Safety	17	6%	9	4%	87	28%	17	5%	183	37%	310	45%	3%	91%	
Work	138	46%	82	33%	28	9%	138	39%	95	19%	99	14%	0%	33%	
Education	68	23%	56	22%	104	33%	68	19%	171	34%	187	27%	0%	34%	
Health	43	14%	31	12%	76	24%	43	12%	108	22%	124	18%	0%	24%	
Creativity	1	0%	0	0%	8	3%	1	0%	12	2%	17	2%	0%	3%	
Community	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP Youth Linkages	275	93%	234	94%	298	95%	275	77%	436	88%	537	78%	4%	95%	
Progressive Life Center															
Relationships	112	67%	110	74%	100	64%	112	51%	170	58%	208	52%	11%	74%	
Public Safety	6	4%	6	4%	61	39%	6	3%	124	43%	200	50%	4%	89%	
Work	68	41%	43	29%	30	19%	68	31%	77	26%	82	20%	0%	29%	
Education	49	30%	42	28%	29	18%	49	22%	63	22%	74	18%	0%	28%	
Health	52	31%	52	35%	16	10%	52	24%	45	15%	46	11%	0%	35%	
Creativity	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	1%	
Community	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
PLC Youth Linkages	149	90%	139	94%	142	90%	149	68%	234	80%	311	77%	68%	94%	

II. Public Safety

II.A Youth Killed or Charged with Murder

1. Youth killed

Count of youth who are killed while enrolled with the Lead Entity

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DCYL youth killed	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.6%	0	0.0%	8	1.1%	16	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%	
ERCPCP	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	4	0.8%	8	1.2%	0.0%	0.7%	
Progressive Life Center	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	4	1.4%	8	2.0%	0.0%	3.6%	
Non-DC Youth Link youth	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	5	-	-	-	
DYRS Total	0	-	1	-	3	-	1	-	9	-	21	-	-	-	

1. Youth charged with murder

Count of youth who are charged with a murder that occurred during the reporting period and while the youth was enrolled with the Lead Entity

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DCYL youth charged with murder	0	0.0%	4	1.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.8%	2	0.3%	12	1.2%	0.0%	1.0%	
ERCPCP	0	0.0%	3	1.2%	0	0.0%	3	0.8%	1	0.2%	8	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	
Progressive Life Center	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	2	0.7%	4	1.0%	0.0%	0.7%	
Non-DC Youth Link youth	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	6	-	19	-	-	-	
DYRS Total	0	-	4	-	1	-	4	-	8	-	30	-	-	-	

II.B Re-Conviction, Re-Petitions & Re-Petition

1. Youth re-convicted

Count of unique enrolled youth who are re-convicted for a crime in the District of Columbia while enrolled with DC YouthLink

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 Q3 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link youth re-convicted	20	4%	20	5%	-	-	40	8%	88	15%	123	17%	4%	11%	
ERCPCP	14	5%	6	2%	-	-	20	6%	55	14%	73	15%	2%	11%	
Progressive Life Center	6	4%	14	9%	-	-	20	9%	33	16%	50	18%	4%	11%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 743 total youth, 495 youth linked to ERCPCP and 272 youth linked to PLC. Pending cases are not included. This includes 7 cases for FY2012 Q1 (ERCPCP 4/PLC 3), 10 cases for FY2011 Q4 (7/3), and 4 cases for FY 2011 Q3 (4/0)

2. Youth re-convicted, by top charge

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 Q3 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Violent Felony	3	1%	4	1%	-	-	7	1%	19	3%	26	3%	1%	3%	
Violent Misdemeanor	2	0%	2	1%	-	-	4	1%	13	2%	17	2%	1%	2%	
Weapons	6	1%	3	1%	-	-	9	2%	9	2%	18	2%	1%	1%	
Drug	3	1%	6	2%	-	-	9	2%	14	2%	23	3%	1%	2%	
Property	4	1%	3	1%	-	-	7	1%	23	4%	30	4%	1%	3%	
Public	1	0%	1	0%	-	-	2	0%	7	1%	9	1%	0%	1%	
PINS	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Other	1	0%	1	0%	-	-	2	0%	6	1%	8	1%	0%	1%	
Total DCYL Re-Convicted	20	4%	20	5%	-	-	40	8%	88	15%	123	17%	5%	11%	
ERCPCP															
Violent Felony	2	1%	1	0%	-	-	3	1%	13	3%	16	3%	0%	3%	
Violent Misdemeanor	2	1%	0	0%	-	-	2	1%	7	2%	9	2%	0%	2%	
Weapons	6	2%	2	1%	-	-	8	2%	5	1%	13	3%	0%	2%	
Drug	0	0%	2	1%	-	-	2	1%	12	3%	14	3%	1%	3%	
Property	2	1%	1	0%	-	-	3	1%	13	3%	16	3%	0%	3%	
Public	1	0%	0	0%	-	-	1	0%	4	1%	5	1%	0%	1%	
PINS	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Other	1	0%	0	0%	-	-	1	0%	3	1%	4	1%	0%	1%	
Total ERCPCP Re-Convicted	14	5%	6	2%	-	-	20	6%	55	14%	73	15%	2%	11%	
Progressive Life Center															
Violent Felony	1	1%	3	2%	-	-	4	2%	6	3%	10	4%	2%	2%	
Violent Misdemeanor	0	0%	2	1%	-	-	2	1%	6	3%	8	3%	1%	2%	
Weapons	0	0%	1	1%	-	-	1	0%	4	2%	5	2%	1%	2%	
Drug	3	2%	4	3%	-	-	7	3%	2	1%	9	3%	0%	3%	
Property	2	1%	2	1%	-	-	4	2%	10	5%	14	5%	1%	3%	
Public	0	0%	1	1%	-	-	1	0%	3	1%	4	1%	1%	1%	
PINS	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Other	0	0%	1	1%	-	-	1	0%	3	1%	4	1%	1%	1%	
Total PLC Re-Convicted	6	4%	14	9%	-	-	20	9%	33	16%	50	18%	9%	11%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 743 total youth, 495 youth linked to ERCPCP and 272 youth linked to PLC. Pending cases are not included. This includes 7 cases for FY2012 Q1 (ERCPCP 4/PLC 3), 10 cases for FY2011 Q4 (7/3), and 4 cases for FY 2011 Q3 (4/0)

3. Youth re-arrested

Count of unique enrolled youth who are re-arrested by the Metropolitan Police Department.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 Q3 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link youth re-arrested	47	10%	50	13%	-	-	93	18%	161	27%	231	31%	10%	23%	
ERCPCP	30	10%	38	15%	-	-	50	14%	105	26%	145	29%	10%	23%	
Progressive Life Center	17	10%	28	19%	-	-	43	20%	56	27%	86	32%	10%	23%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 743 total youth, 495 youth linked to ERCPCP and 272 youth linked to PLC.

4. Youth re-arrested, by top charge

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 Q3 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011*		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Violent Felony	6	1%	15	4%	-	-	21	4%	29	5%	50	7%	2%	4%	
Violent Misdemeanor	6	1%	7	2%	-	-	13	2%	29	5%	42	6%	2%	3%	
Weapons	8	2%	6	2%	-	-	14	3%	11	2%	25	3%	1%	2%	
Drug	7	2%	10	3%	-	-	15	3%	29	5%	43	6%	2%	4%	
Property	13	3%	6	2%	-	-	19	4%	49	8%	67	9%	2%	8%	
Public	2	0%	1	0%	-	-	3	1%	12	2%	15	2%	0%	2%	
PINS	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
Other	5	1%	5	1%	-	-	10	2%	17	3%	27	4%	1%	2%	
Total DCYL Re-Arrests	47	10%	50	13%	-	-	93	18%	161	27%	231	31%	13%	23%	
ERCPCP															
Violent Felony	3	1%	7	3%	-	-	10	3%	21	5%	31	6%	3%	5%	
Violent Misdemeanor	5	2%	2	1%	-	-	7	2%	17	4%	24	5%	1%	3%	
Weapons	7	2%	5	2%	-	-	12	3%	7	2%	19	4%	1%	2%	
Drug	2	1%	4	2%	-	-	5	1%	23	6%	26	5%	2%	5%	
Property	9	3%	2	1%	-	-	11	3%	29	7%	40	8%	1%	8%	
Public	1	0%	0	0%	-	-	1	0%	7	2%	8	2%	0%	1%	
PINS	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
Other	3	1%	2	1%	-	-	5	1%	10	3%	15	3%	1%	2%	
Total ERCPCP Re-Arrests	30	10%	22	9%	-	-	50	14%	105	26%	145	29%	9%	23%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 743 total youth, 495 youth linked to ERCPCP and 272 youth linked to PLC.

4. Youth re-arrested, by top charge (cont'd)

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY11 Q3 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011*		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Progressive Life Center															
Violent Felony	3	2%	8	5%	-	-	11	5%	8	4%	19	7%	2%	5%	
Violent Misdemeanor	1	1%	5	3%	-	-	6	3%	12	6%	18	7%	3%	4%	
Weapons	1	1%	1	1%	-	-	2	1%	4	2%	6	2%	1%	2%	
Drug	5	3%	6	4%	-	-	10	5%	7	3%	17	6%	1%	4%	
Property	4	2%	4	3%	-	-	8	4%	20	9%	27	10%	3%	8%	
Public	1	1%	1	1%	-	-	2	1%	5	2%	7	3%	1%	2%	
PINS	0	0%	0	0%	-	-	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Other	2	1%	3	2%	-	-	5	2%	7	3%	12	4%	1%	3%	
Total PLC Re-Arrests	17	10%	28	19%	-	-	43	20%	56	27%	86	32%	17%	23%	

Total percentages calculated as a percent of unique youth enrolled with DC YouthLink at any time during since FY11 Q3. This included 743 total youth, 495 youth linked to ERCPCP and 272 youth linked to PLC.

II.C Revocations

1. Youth removed from community placements

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10* - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link Revocations	13	3%	21	5%	6	1%	13	2%	68	9%	83	8%	0.0%	5.3%	
ERCPCP	6	2%	16	6%	3	1%	6	2%	48	10%	44	6%	0.0%	6.4%	
Progressive Life Center	5	3%	3	2%	2	1%	5	2%	20	7%	23	6%	0.0%	2.2%	
<i>Non-DC Youth Link youth</i>	2		2		1		2		56		16				

* FY10 data collection began in January, 2010 and therefore does not include the first quarter.

II.D Abscondences

1. Youth who absconded while enrolled in DC Youth Link

Count of unique youth who absconded at any point during the reporting period while enrolled with DC Youth Link.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link	26	6%	26	7%	60	13%	57	11%	200	26%	363	35%	5.7%	18.0%	
ERCPCP	15	5%	18	7%	40	13%	36	10%	129	26%	239	35%	5.1%	18.8%	
Progressive Life Center	11	7%	8	5%	24	15%	21	10%	73	25%	128	32%	5.4%	16.3%	

2. Abscondence one-day snap-shot

Count of unique youth on abscondence status on the final day of the reporting period while enrolled with DC YouthLink. Fiscal year values and aggregate calculation are calculated as the average number of youth on abscondence status at the end of each quarter within the fiscal year.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Avg	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	Avg	%	Avg	%					
DC Youth Link	7	2%	4	1%	31	7%	5.5	1.3%	30	6%	24	6%	1.0%	11.2%	
ERCPCP	5	2%	3	1%	20	6%	4	1.4%	19	6%	16	6%	1.2%	10.6%	
Progressive Life Center	2	1%	1	1%	11	7%	1.5	0.9%	11	6%	8	6%	0.7%	14.9%	

II.E Linkage to Public Safety Focused Services

1. Linkage to Public Safety focused services

Count of unique youth linked to a public safety-focused service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Electronic Monitoring	228	50%	166	42%	197	43%	228	43%	373	49%	533	52%	0%	43%	
Intensive Third Party Monitoring	23	5%	15	4%	142	31%	23	4%	302	40%	501	49%	3%	93%	
DCYL Public Safety Linkages	242	53%	176	45%	297	64%	242	46%	540	71%	799	78%	44%	93%	
ERCPCP															
Electronic Monitoring	144	48%	101	41%	140	45%	144	40%	251	51%	352	51%	0%	45%	
Intensive Third Party Monitoring	17	6%	9	4%	85	27%	17	5%	185	37%	322	47%	3%	96%	
ERCPCP Public Safety Linkages	155	52%	107	43%	198	63%	155	43%	351	71%	523	76%	42%	96%	
Progressive Life															
Electronic Monitoring	84	51%	68	46%	60	38%	84	38%	127	44%	194	48%	0%	46%	
Intensive Third Party Monitoring	6	4%	6	4%	57	36%	6	3%	122	42%	194	48%	4%	89%	
PLC Public Safety Linkages	87	52%	72	49%	102	65%	87	40%	199	68%	304	75%	42%	89%	

III. Relationships

III.A Linkage to relationship-building services

1. Youth linked to relationship-building services, by type

Count of unique youth linked to a relationship-building service during the reporting period

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Mentoring	337	73%	303	77%	311	67%	435	83%	512	68%	728	71%	17%	77%	
Family Support/Reunification	4	1%	5	1%	3	1%	6	1%	5	1%	6	1%	0%	1%	
Youth Parenting Classes	17	4%	9	2%	14	3%	22	4%	20	3%	47	5%	0%	3%	
Functional Family Therapy	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%	0	0%	21	3%	51	5%	0%	7%	
Multi-Systemic Therapy	3	1%	3	1%	3	1%	3	1%	6	1%	10	1%	0%	1%	
Wrap Around Services	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
Life Skills Counseling	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
DCLY Relationship Linkages	342	74%	311	79%	322	70%	444	84%	528	70%	753	73%	21%	79%	
ERCPCP															
Mentoring	229	77%	201	81%	221	70%	292	82%	354	71%	496	72%	13%	81%	
Functional Family Therapy	0	0%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%	14	3%	25	4%	0%	4%	
Youth Parenting Classes	11	4%	4	2%	11	4%	13	4%	15	3%	36	5%	0%	4%	
Wrap Around Services	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
Multi-Systemic Therapy	3	1%	3	1%	3	1%	3	1%	6	1%	10	1%	0%	2%	
ERCPCP Relationship Linkages	233	78%	204	82%	228	73%	295	82%	362	73%	510	74%	16%	82%	
Progressive Life															
Mentoring	111	67%	108	73%	93	59%	148	68%	170	58%	257	64%	16%	73%	
Family Support/Reunification	4	2%	5	3%	3	2%	6	3%	5	2%	6	1%	0%	3%	
Youth Parenting Classes	6	4%	5	3%	3	2%	9	4%	5	2%	11	3%	0%	3%	
Life Skills Counseling	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	5	2%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Functional Family Therapy	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%	8	3%	28	7%	0%	11%	
PLC Relationship Linkages	112	67%	110	74%	97	62%	154	70%	179	62%	271	67%	19%	74%	

2. Youth linked to relationship-building services - by Service Coalition member

Count of unique youth linked to a relationship-building service provider during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present					
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%						
ERCPCP																
<i>Mentoring</i>																
JJ	64	22%	69	28%	63	20%	87	24%	101	20%	133	19%	0%	28%		
EE	45	15%	48	19%	31	10%	62	17%	63	13%	103	15%	0%	19%		
O	33	11%	33	13%	44	14%	50	14%	83	17%	106	15%	0%	14%		
A	18	6%	12	5%	2	1%	21	6%	2	0%	22	3%	0%	5%		
M	22	7%	10	4%	8	3%	24	7%	10	2%	31	5%	0%	4%		
GG	1	0%	7	3%	28	9%	7	2%	36	7%	41	6%	1%	9%		
AA	34	11%	16	6%	7	2%	40	11%	17	3%	49	7%	0%	6%		
Y	16	5%	8	3%	27	9%	20	6%	31	6%	53	8%	0%	9%		
YYY	8	3%	10	4%	0	0%	13	4%	12	2%	21	3%	0%	4%		
X	2	1%	7	3%	10	3%	4	1%	19	4%	24	3%	0%	4%		
Z	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	3	1%	5	1%	0%	1%		
U	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%	6	1%	0%	2%		
PP	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	7	1%	0%	2%		
Total Mentoring Linkages	229	77%	201	81%	221	70%	292	82%	349	70%	496	72%	13%	81%		
<i>Multi-Systemic Therapy</i>																
BB	2	1%	2	1%	3	1%	2	1%	6	1%	9	1%	0%	2%		
BBB	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	6	1%	1	0%	0%	0%		
Total MST Linkages	3	1%	3	1%	3	1%	3	1%	3	1%	10	1%	0%	2%		
<i>Youth Parenting Classes</i>																
Q	1	0%	1	0%	9	3%	2	1%	9	2%	9	1%	0%	3%		
A	7	2%	2	1%	0	0%	7	2%	9	2%	7	1%	0%	1%		
SS	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	0	0%	6	1%	11	2%	0%	2%		
B	3	1%	1	0%	0	0%	5	1%	2	0%	5	1%	0%	1%		
TT	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	6	1%	0%	1%		
Total Parenting Class Linkages	11	4%	4	2%	11	4%	13	4%	15	3%	36	5%	0%	4%		

Relationships

2. Youth linked to relationship-building services - by Service Coalition member (cont'd)

Count of unique youth linked to a relationship-building service provider during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Progressive Life															
<i>Mentoring</i>															
JJ	11	7%	11	7%	2	1%	14	6%	6	2%	15	4%	0%	7%	
EE	19	11%	17	11%	34	22%	28	13%	61	21%	104	26%	1%	31%	
O	10	6%	8	5%	0	0%	14	6%	1	0%	14	3%	0%	5%	
M	27	16%	26	18%	2	1%	36	16%	34	12%	49	12%	0%	19%	
A	24	14%	30	20%	44	28%	34	16%	50	17%	58	14%	0%	28%	
AA	4	2%	4	3%	1	1%	4	2%	1	0%	6	1%	0%	3%	
Z	3	2%	5	3%	8	5%	5	2%	13	4%	18	4%	1%	6%	
YYY	1	1%	2	1%	0	0%	3	1%	1	0%	4	1%	0%	1%	
NNN	7	4%	3	2%	0	0%	8	4%	9	3%	8	2%	0%	2%	
WWW	4	2%	1	1%	0	0%	4	2%	9	3%	4	1%	0%	1%	
W	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	2	1%	9	3%	2	0%	0%	1%	
II	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
Total Mentoring Linkages	111	67%	105	71%	93	59%	148	68%	164	56%	257	64%	16%	71%	
<i>Family Support/Reunification</i>															
KK	4	2%	5	3%	3	2%	6	3%	5	2%	6	1%	0%	3%	
Total Family Support Linkages	4	2%	5	3%	3	2%	6	3%	5	2%	6	1%	0%	3%	
<i>Youth Parenting Classes</i>															
A	6	4%	4	3%	3	2%	8	4%	5	2%	10	2%	0%	3%	
Q	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	5	2%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Total Parenting Class Linkages	6	4%	5	3%	3	2%	9	4%	5	2%	11	3%	0%	3%	
<i>Life Skills Classes</i>															
Q	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Total Parenting Class Linkages	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
<i>Functional Family Therapy</i>															
VV	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%	8	3%	28	7%	0%	11%	
Total FFT Linkages	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%	8	3%	28	7%	0%	11%	

IV. Work

IV.A Workforce Outcomes

1. Youth Earning Employment

Count of unique youth attaining an unsubsidized job.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY11 - Present			
	Q2 #	Prev. Qtr #	This Qtr Last Yr #	FY 2012 YTD #	FY 2011 #	Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	9	15	2	22	27	48	1	17	
ERCPCP	5	11	0	14	14	27	0	11	
Progressive Life Center	4	4	2	8	13	21	0	7	
<i>Provider Specific</i>									
R	9	9	2	16	13	28	1	9	
Q	0	5	0	5	3	8	1	5	
T	0	0	0	0	7	7	3	4	
YY	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	
W	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	

2. Youth Maintaining Employment

Percent of employed youth who maintain employment for 45 days.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY11 - Present			
	Q2 #	Prev. Qtr #	This Qtr Last Yr #	FY 2012 YTD #	FY 2011 #	Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	78%	53%	0%	68%	42%	54%	0%	78%	
ERCPCP	60%	46%	-	57%	54%	56%	0%	66%	
Progressive Life Center	100%	75%	0%	88%	31%	52%	0%	100%	
<i>Provider Specific</i>									
R	0%	44%	0%	69%	54%	61%	0%	71%	
Q	0%	60%	-	60%	33%	63%	0%	60%	
T	-	-	-	-	43%	43%	25%	67%	
YY	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	
W	0%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	

3. Youth Earning Professional Certification

Count of unique youth earning a professional certification

	Quarter Comparison					
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
DC Youth Link	19	4%	0	0%	0	0.0%
ERCPCP	12	4%	0	0%	0	0.0%
Progressive Life Center	7	4%	0	0%	0	0.0%

Fiscal Year Comparison			
FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011	
#	%	#	%
19	4%	10	1%
12	3%	10	2%
7	3%	0	0%

Summary Data FY11 - Present			
Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
29	0%	4%	
22	0%	4%	
7	0%	4%	

4. Youth Participating in an Internship

Count of unique youth earning

	Quarter Comparison					
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
DC Youth Link	42	9%	41	10%	10	
ERCPCP	28	9%	29	12%	1	
Progressive Life Center	14	8%	12	8%	6	

Fiscal Year Comparison			
FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011	
#	%	#	%
71	13%	79	10%
47	13%	53	11%
24	11%	26	9%

Summary Data FY10 - Present			
Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
150	10	42	
102	1	29	
48	6	14	

IV.A Linkage to a work readiness program

1. Youth linked to a work readiness program, by type

Count of unique youth linked to a work readiness service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison					
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
DC Youth Link	206	45%	122	31%	77	16.6%
ERCPCP	140	47%	82	33%	41	13.1%
Progressive Life Center	68	41%	43	29%	37	23.6%

Fiscal Year Comparison			
FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011	
#	%	#	%
239	45%	200	26%
159	44%	115	23%
87	40%	88	30%

Summary Data FY10 - Present				
Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
360	35%	4%	45%	
226	33%	0%	47%	
143	35%	3%	41%	

2. Youth linked to a work readiness program - by provider

Count of unique youth linked to a work readiness service provider during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present					
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%						
ERCPCP																
<i>Workforce Development</i>																
R	121	41%	61	24%	35	11%	131	37%	73	15%	174	25%	3%	41%		
T	8	3%	6	2%	4	3%	9	3%	7	1%	16	2%	0%	3%		
XXX	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%	0	0%	8	1%	0%	3%		
ZZZ	7	2%	0	0%	0	0%	7	2%	0	0%	7	1%	0%	2%		
YY	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%	6	1%	0%	2%		
Q	21	7%	10	4%	0	0%	26	7%	14	3%	39	6%	0%	7%		
O	3	1%	10	4%	1	0%	10	3%	17	3%	24	3%	0%	4%		
K	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	7	1%	7	1%	0%	2%		
YYY	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
ZZ	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%		
Total WFD Linkages	140	47%	82	33%	41	13%	159	44%	115	23%	226	33%	3%	47%		
Progressive Life																
<i>Workforce Development</i>																
R	58	35%	28	19%	36	23%	70	32%	57	20%	64	16%	2%	35%		
T	1	1%	6	4%	1	1%	6	3%	24	8%	27	7%	0%	10%		
ZZZ	5	3%	0	0%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	3%		
YY	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%	8	2%	0%	5%		
Q	4	2%	6	4%	0	0%	7	3%	4	1%	9	2%	0%	4%		
O	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%	0%	1%		
W	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%		
RRR	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	1%		
M	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	2%		
YYY	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	1%		
E	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%		
Total Linkages	67	40%	43	29%	37	24%	87	40%	88	30%	108	27%	3%	40%		

3. Youth linked to a work readiness program - by age

Count of unique youth linked to a work readiness service during the reporting period. Age calculated as the age of the youth on the final day of the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison			
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DC Youth Link										
Under 18	53	28%	19	14%	6	2%	60	30%	38	12%
Over 18	152	56%	104	41%	71	25%	179	55%	162	38%
ERCPCP										
Under 18	34	30%	13	15%	4	2%	37	27%	26	12%
Over 18	106	57%	69	42%	37	21%	122	56%	89	32%
Progressive Life										
Under 18	19	25%	6	11%	2	2%	23	27%	13	11%
Over 18	48	53%	38	40%	35	34%	64	48%	75	45%

Summary Data FY10 - Present

Min	Max	Sparkline
0%	19%	
0%	41%	
0%	20%	
0%	42%	
0%	18%	
0%	40%	

V. Education

V.A Educational Outcomes

1. Youth Enrolled in Post-Secondary Education

Count of unique youth beginning enrollment in post-secondary education

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q2 #	Prev. Qtr #	This Qtr Last Yr #	FY 2012 YTD #	FY 2011 #	Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	5	6	4	0	6	16	0	6	
ERCPCP	3	5	4	0	5	10	0	5	
Progressive Life Center	2	1	0	0	1	6	0	2	

1. Youth achieving a high school credential

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period whose records indicate that they have achieved either a high school diploma or a GED credential.

	Quarter Comparison			Fiscal Year Comparison		Summary Data FY10 - Present			
	Q2 #	Prev. Qtr #	This Qtr Last Yr #	FY 2012 YTD #	FY 2011 #	Total	Min	Max	Sparkline
DC Youth Link	2	8	5	9	10	48	0	23	
ERCPCP	0	5	3	5	9	31	0	16	
Progressive Life Center	2	2	1	4	1	12	0	7	

V.B. Linkage to an educational-support service

1. Youth linked to educational support services - by type

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Academic Support	54	12%	71	18%	74	47%	111	21%	120	16%	215	21%	4%	18%	
Tutoring	54	12%	39	10%	107	68%	63	12%	132	17%	227	22%	4%	23%	
Educational Advoacy	1	0%	1	0%	4	3%	1	0%	15	2%	16	2%	0%	4%	
GED	10	2%	6	2%	9	6%	11	2%	10	1%	18	2%	0%	2%	
DCYL Education Linkages	123	27%	105	27%	161	103%	156	30%	265	35%	377	37%	6%	35%	

1. Youth linked to educational support services - by type (cont'd)

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
ERCPCP															
Academic Support	55	19%	41	16%	54	34%	70	20%	75	15%	137	20%	6%	19%	
Tutoring	21	7%	16	6%	67	43%	28	8%	109	22%	133	19%	1%	23%	
GED	9	3%	5	2%	7	4%	10	3%	8	2%	16	2%	0%	3%	
Educational Advocacy	1	0%	1	0%	4	3%	1	0%	15	3%	16	2%	0%	4%	
ERCPCP Education Linkages	72	24%	62	25%	107	68%	92	26%	173	35%	235	34%	4%	34%	
Progressive Life															
Academic Support	29	17%	31	21%	22	14%	40	18%	42	14%	81	20%	1%	21%	
Tutoring	33	20%	23	16%	40	25%	35	16%	60	21%	94	23%	7%	25%	
GED	2	1%	1	1%	1	1%	2	1%	2	1%	3	1%	0%	1%	
PLC Education Linkages	52	31%	44	30%	54	34%	64	29%	94	32%	147	36%	9%	34%	

2. Youth linked to an educational support service - by Service Coalition member

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
ERCPCP															
<i>Academic Support</i>															
I	22	7%	21	8%	50	16%	27	8%	70	14%	89	13%	1%	16%	
J	33	11%	21	8%	4	1%	42	12%	4	1%	44	6%	0%	11%	
ECRPCP Academic Support Linkages	55	19%	41	16%	56	18%	70	20%	75	15%	135	20%	1%	19%	
<i>Educational Advocacy</i>															
N	1	0%	2	1%	4	1%	1	0%	15	3%	16	2%	0%	4%	
ERCPCP Educational Advocacy Linkages	1	0%	2	1%	4	1%	1	0%	15	3%	16	2%	0%	4%	
<i>Tutoring</i>															
N	0	0%	3	1%	13	4%	3	1%	30	6%	32	5%	0%	8%	
JJ	6	2%	8	3%	37	12%	10	3%	51	10%	57	8%	0%	12%	
I	5	2%	0	0%	0	0%	5	1%	0	0%	5	1%	0%	2%	
O	3	1%	2	1%	7	2%	3	1%	13	3%	17	2%	0%	3%	
YYY	4	1%	1	0%	0	0%	4	1%	5	1%	9	1%	0%	1%	
AA	1	0%	1	0%	4	1%	1	0%	6	1%	6	1%	0%	2%	
MM	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	8	2%	1	0%	0%	0%	
C	1	0%	1	0%	7	2%	1	0%	2	0%	19	3%	0%	2%	
ERCPCP Tutoring Linkages	21	7%	16	6%	67	21%	28	8%	109	22%	133	19%	1%	23%	
<i>GED</i>															
AAAA	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
N	5	2%	2	1%	0	0%	6	2%	1	0%	6	1%	0%	2%	
G	2	1%	2	1%	1	0%	2	1%	1	0%	3	0%	0%	1%	
Q	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
BBBB	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
S	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
ERCPCP GED Linkages	9	3%	5	2%	7	2%	10	3%	8	2%	16	2%	0%	3%	

2. Youth linked to an educational support service - by Service Coalition member (cont'd)

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Progressive Life															
<i>Academic Support</i>															
I	9	5%	14	9%	3	2%	14	6%	17	6%	21	5%	0%	9%	
J	20	12%	19	13%	5	3%	26	12%	5	2%	28	7%	0%	13%	
PLC Academic Support Linkages	29	17%	31	21%	21	13%	40	18%	42	14%	81	20%	1%	21%	
<i>Tutoring</i>															
JJ	9	5%	9	6%	11	7%	13	6%	19	7%	28	7%	0%	8%	
O	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	3	1%	0%	1%	
E	8	5%	3	2%	0	0%	11	5%	0	0%	11	3%	0%	5%	
W	5	3%	4	3%	0	0%	6	3%	5	2%	11	3%	0%	3%	
I	6	4%	6	4%	0	0%	7	3%	1	0%	7	2%	0%	4%	
II	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
YYY	3	2%	1	1%	0	0%	3	1%	1	0%	3	1%	0%	2%	
PLC Tutoring Linkages	33	20%	23	16%	40	25%	35	16%	60	21%	94	23%	7%	25%	
<i>GED</i>															
WW	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
G	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
PLC GED Linkages	2	1%	1	1%	1	1%	2	1%	2	1%	3	1%	0%	1%	

3. Youth linked to an educational support service - by age

Count of unique youth linked to an educational support service during the reporting period. Age calculated as the age of the youth on the final day of the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	61	33%	51	37%	55	22%	79	40%	86	26%	0%	37%	
Over 18	62	23%	61	24%	105	38%	79	24%	179	42%	0%	38%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	34	30%	31	36%	39	23%	47	34%	54	25%	0%	36%	
Over 18	29	16%	37	23%	68	38%	47	21%	115	41%	0%	38%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	28	37%	20	38%	16	18%	32	37%	28	23%	0%	38%	
Over 18	24	27%	25	26%	38	37%	32	24%	66	39%	0%	37%	

VI. Health

VI.A Linkage to a health-focused services

1. Youth linked to a health-focused service, by type

Count of unique youth linked to a health-focused service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link															
Physical Activity	24	5%	25	6%	56	36%	39	7%	93	12%	134	13%	2%	12%	
Mental/Behavioral Health	110	24%	46	12%	37	24%	131	25%	55	7%	167	16%	0%	24%	
Substance Abuse Intervention	43	9%	30	8%	27	17%	56	11%	50	7%	101	10%	1%	9%	
Community-Based Intervention	9	2%	9	2%	2	1%	10	2%	7	1%	13	1%	0%	2%	
DCYL Health Linkages	162	35%	101	26%	91	58%	200	38%	190	25%	344	34%	6%	35%	
ERCPCP															
Physical Activity	2	1%	8	3%	56	36%	9	3%	79	16%	101	15%	1%	18%	
Mental/Behavioral Health	74	25%	20	8%	33	21%	83	23%	41	8%	113	16%	0%	25%	
Substance Abuse Intervention	23	8%	11	4%	12	8%	27	8%	19	4%	51	7%	1%	8%	
Community-Based Intervention	8	3%	8	3%	2	1%	8	2%	6	1%	12	2%	0%	3%	
ERCPCP Health Linkages	95	32%	46	18%	97	62%	112	31%	136	27%	233	34%	4%	32%	
Progressive Life															
Physical Activity	22	13%	19	13%	0	0%	31	14%	14	5%	35	9%	0%	13%	
Mental/Behavioral Health	38	23%	26	18%	4	3%	50	23%	15	5%	58	14%	1%	23%	
Substance Abuse Intervention	20	12%	19	13%	16	10%	29	13%	32	11%	50	12%	3%	13%	
Community-Based Intervention	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	2	0%	0%	1%	
PLC Health Linkages	69	42%	56	38%	16	10%	91	42%	57	20%	118	29%	4%	42%	

2. Youth linked to a health-focused service, by provider

Count of unique youth linked to a health-focused service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
ERCPCP															
<i>Physical Activity</i>															
P	0	0%	0	0%	50	32%	0	0%	63	13%	79	12%	0%	16%	
O	2	1%	8	3%	0	0%	2	1%	8	2%	18	3%	0%	3%	
ERCPCP Physical Activity Linkage	2	1%	8	3%	56	36%	2	1%	79	16%	101	15%	1%	18%	
<i>Mental/Behavioral Health</i>															
Q	18	6%	11	4%	14	9%	18	5%	17	3%	37	5%	0%	6%	
BBB	23	8%	5	2%	12	8%	23	6%	14	3%	34	5%	0%	8%	
III	6	2%	1	0%	0	0%	6	2%	0	0%	7	1%	0%	2%	
TTT	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
QQQ	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	0	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
PPP	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	3	0%	0%	1%	
SSS	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	4	1%	0%	1%	
CCC	9	3%	1	0%	3	2%	9	3%	3	1%	11	2%	0%	3%	
DDD	4	1%	0	0%	2	1%	4	1%	2	0%	6	1%	0%	1%	
EEE	0	0%	1	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
GGG	2	1%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
BB	2	1%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP Mental Health Linkages	74	25%	20	8%	33	21%	74	21%	41	8%	113	16%	0%	25%	
<i>Substance Abuse Intervention</i>															
Q	22	7%	8	3%	6	4%	22	6%	8	2%	30	4%	0%	7%	
III	1	0%	1	0%	5	3%	1	0%	10	2%	21	3%	0%	4%	
A	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%	3	0%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP Substance Abuse Linkage	23	8%	11	4%	12	8%	23	6%	19	4%	51	7%	1%	8%	
<i>Community-Based Intervention</i>															
BBB	5	2%	5	2%	0	0%	5	1%	2	0%	6	1%	0%	2%	
CCC	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
DDD	1	0%	1	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
JJJ	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
UUU	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
III	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
WW	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
ERCPCP CBI Linkages	8	3%	8	3%	2	1%	8	2%	6	1%	12	2%	0%	3%	

2. Youth linked to health focused services - by Service Coalition member (cont'd)

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
Progressive Life															
<i>Physical Activity</i>															
L	10	6%	11	7%	0	0%	15	7%	14	5%	19	5%	0%	9%	
NNN	4	2%	2	1%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%	5	1%	0%	2%	
O	3	2%	4	3%	0	0%	6	3%	0	0%	6	1%	0%	3%	
M	5	3%	2	1%	0	0%	6	3%	0	0%	6	1%	0%	3%	
Total Linkages	24	14%	19	13%	0	0%	31	14%	14	5%	35	9%	0%	14%	
<i>Mental/Behavioral Health</i>															
Q	18	11%	23	16%	0	0%	29	13%	8	3%	30	7%	0%	16%	
BBB	12	7%	1	1%	2	1%	13	6%	4	1%	16	4%	0%	7%	
CCC	3	2%	1	1%	1	1%	3	1%	1	0%	4	1%	0%	2%	
GGG	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
III	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
DDD	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
EEE	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Total Mental Health Linkages	30	18%	26	18%	4	3%	50	23%	15	5%	58	14%	1%	18%	
<i>Substance Abuse Intervention</i>															
A	7	4%	17	11%	16	10%	19	9%	26	9%	31	8%	0%	11%	
OOO	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
WWW	11	7%	0	0%	0	0%	12	5%	0	0%	12	3%	0%	7%	
RRR	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	3	1%	0%	1%	
WW	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Total Substance Abuse Linkages	20	12%	19	13%	16	10%	29	13%	32	11%	50	12%	3%	13%	
<i>Community-Based Intervention</i>															
JJJ	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Total CBI Linkages	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	2	0%	0%	1%	

VI. Health

3. Youth linked to a health focused service - by age

Count of unique youth linked to a health focused service during the reporting period. Age calculated as the age of the youth on the final day of the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	90	48%	55	40%	44	18%	103	52%	86	26%	0%	40%	
Over 18	75	27%	49	19%	72	26%	97	30%	179	42%	0%	26%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	50	45%	25	29%	37	22%	56	40%	54	25%	0%	35%	
Over 18	45	24%	23	14%	60	34%	56	26%	115	41%	0%	34%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	41	54%	30	57%	7	8%	48	56%	28	23%	0%	57%	
Over 18	31	34%	27	28%	13	13%	43	32%	66	39%	0%	28%	

VII. Community

VII.A Linkage to a community participation service

1. Youth linked to community participation services - by type

Count of unique youth linked to a community participation service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2011		FY 2010		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	3	0%	5	0.5%	0%	0.7%	
ERCPCP	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	3	0.4%	0%	0.8%	
Progressive Life Center	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0.2%	0%	0.7%	

2. Youth linked to community participation services - by Service Coalition member

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2011		FY 2010		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
ERCPCP															
Community Participation															
MMM	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
WW	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	
Progressive Life															
Community Participation															
AAA	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	

3. Youth linked to community participation services - by age

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY11		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0%	1%	
Over 18	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Over 18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0%	1%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0%	1%	
Over 18	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	0%	0%	1%	

Community

VIII. Creativity

VIII.A Linkage to a creative expression service

1. Youth linked to a creative expression service

Count of unique youth linked to a community participation service during the reporting period.

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
DC Youth Link	3	1%	4	1%	8	2%	7	1%	12	2%	21	2.0%	0%	2%	
ERCPCP	1	0%	3	1%	8	3%	4	1%	12	2%	18	2.6%	0%	2.5%	
Progressive Life Center	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	3	0.7%	0%	1.2%	

2. Youth linked to a creative expression service, by provider

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present				
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Total	%	Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%					
ERCPCP															
<i>Creative Expression</i>															
AA	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	2	0%	0	1	
GG	0	0%	3	2%	7	4%	3	1%	11	2%	16	2%	0	8	
Progressive Life															
<i>Creative Expression</i>															
AA	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%	0	2	
E	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%	0	1	

3. Youth linked to creative expression services - by age

	Quarter Comparison						Fiscal Year Comparison				Summary Data FY10 - Present		
	Q2		Prev. Qtr		This Qtr Last Yr		FY 2012 YTD		FY 2011		Min	Max	Sparkline
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
DC Youth Link													
Under 18	2	1%	2	1%	5	2%	4	2%	7	2%	0%	2%	
Over 18	1	0%	2	1%	3	1%	3	1%	6	2%	0%	1%	
ERCPCP													
Under 18	0	0%	1	1%	5	3%	1	0%	7	2%	0%	3%	
Over 18	1	1%	2	1%	3	2%	3	1%	6	2%	0%	2%	
Progressive Life													
Under 18	2	3%	1	2%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	0%	2%	
Over 18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	

Creativity